DAILY CLIPPER

WM. WALES.....Publisher.

THURSDAY, JAN. 12, 1865.

TO ADVERTISERS.

The following exhibit of our regular rates will be found of service:

1 Square	1 Time	$ 50
"	2 Times	75
"	3 "	1 00
"	1 Week	1 75
"	1 Month	6 00
"	3 Months	16 00
"	6 "	30 00
"	1 Year	50 00

ONE SQUARE CONTAINS TEN LINES OF SPACE.

[Reported for the Baltimore Clipper.]

PROCEEDINGS OF CONGRESS.

38th Congress—2d Session.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 11, 1865.

SENATE.—Mr. Trumbull presented a petition from the rank of the Army of the James praying an increase of pay to colored troops. Mr. Wilson presented like petitions from officers of colored troops. Referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Clark presented a petition from citizens of Virginia praying the establishment of a Territorial Government in lieu of the present State Government. Referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Harris presented petitions, reversals, from clerks in the Treasury, War, Navy and Interior Departments, all citizens of New York, praying an increase of salary. Referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. Dodd also presented the petition of the Chamber of Commerce of Oswego, in favor of the construction of a ship canal around the falls of Niagara. Referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Wilson presented a petition of medical officers, praying the same pay and emoluments of surgeons in the army. Referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Buckalew introduced a resolution authorizing the committee on Finance to report a bill or joint resolution providing compensation for members of the Senate at the pipeclay American currency for the purpose of the administration, &c., after the 4th of March next, which was passed.

Mr. Ramsay introduced a bill to incorporate the National Protection Insurance Company of the District of Columbia. Referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Mr. Ramsay also introduced a bill amendatory of the act to promote the progress of the useful arts. Referred to the committee on Patents.

Mr. Collamer introduced a bill relating to the Postal laws; also a bill relating to clerkships in the Post Office Department. Referred to the Committee on Post Offices.

Mr. Trumbull, from the Judiciary Committee, called up the bill to amend the act for the punishment of crime in the District of Columbia, approved March 2, 1831. It provides that every person convicted of manslaughter in the District shall be punished for the first offence, by imprisonment in the penitentiary not less than two nor more than six years, and for the second offence not less than six nor more than fifteen years. The bill was passed.

Mr. Foster, from the Committee on Pensions, reported back the bill in relation to pensions, which prohibits any person in the army, navy, or marine corps, from drawing both pension as an invalid and pay at the same time. The bill was considered and passed.

Mr. Wilson reported back from the Military Committee, the bill authorizing the appointment of a Second Assistant Secretary of War for every year, at a salary of 3,000, and it was passed.

Mr. Ten Eyck, from the Judiciary Committee, reported on the petition of Elias H. Chamberz, to be indemnified for loss of Treasury notes by fire, that they did not deem it proper to act on the matter in general provision on the subject, and therefore declined to refer the petition to the Committee on Claims. So referred.

Mr. Grimes called up House bill to provide for an advance of rank of naval and marine corps officers for eminent and conspicuous service in battle, and it was passed. It authorizes an advance of fifty numbers in each instance.

Mr. Van Winkle called up the bill to authorize payment to the Adams Express Company of $22,000 of legal tender Treasury notes, irrecoverably lost by the sinking of the steamer Star of the Union, in which they were shipped from New York.

Mr. Davis wanted to know what proof there was of the destruction of these notes. He said there were numbers of such cases as this, and he objected to special action in this case.

Mr. Van Winkle explained that the steamer had been entered by the usual marine risk, and it was considered impossible to recover the notes; but in any case by the bill the company is required to execute satisfactory bond against any future responsibility of the Government for the notes.

Mr. Pomeroy did not think we were ever in a condition to act on such applications. Every rich corporation could well afford to lose many individuals who had met with like misfortune.

On motion of Mr. Sherman, the bill was recommitted to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. Sumner called up the joint resolution, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, authorizing the President to give the requisite notice to Great Britain for the termination of the Canadian Reciprocity Treaty.

Mr. Howe said he had heretofore decided the postponement of this early day to consider the joint resolution, and he was now constrained by parliamentary law to call it up, without one information. He had opposed the adoption of the treaty as a radical error in financial principles and conventions, and it ought to be thought well of to keep such a measure on in the wrong direction. The treaty had been practically good to both parties to it, and its abrogation could do neither no good. If the object in repealing it was to benefit our commercial or financial interests in the great exigency of the country, he would not object to it. It had not been considered by either the Committee on Commerce or on Finance, but those from the Committee on Foreign Relations, and no report had as yet been made as to why action should be taken. It had been said that the treaty operated all in one way, and that it was not reciprocal at all, but the reasons assigned by those who urge its abrogation were vague and unsatisfactory. He (Mr. Howe) was in favor of the commercial prosperity of the country, and he had taken the trouble to look at the operation of the treaty it gives. Some special pleaders might make out a case against it on a particular point, but its general operation had been supported probably beneficial.

Mr. Hale read a statement from a letter of the Secretary of the Treasury, to show that under the operations of the reciprocity treaty, the exports to Canada had increased in a few years from $4 million to twenty-five millions of dollars. Both the free goods and the dutiable goods had increased. He had been told that Canada had altered her tariff so as to make it burdensome to American commerce. The rates had not been more than twelve per cent., and in the last year they had decreased. In 1861 they were nineteen per cent.; only a little over one degree than in 1850. The statement that the U. S. had been unfair advantage taken in the way of duties was, therefore, a mistake. In 1853 the exports to Canada were seven millions, and in 1854 fifteen millions; in 1855, fifteen millions; in 1856 twenty millions, and in 1863 twenty eight millions. The imports had increased from four hundred and ninety thousand dollars to twenty millions.

Mr. Sumner replied at some length. He said the Reciprocity treaty had a beautiful name, but as it was found that in organizing exchange it forged equality and equity; these should be a modification in accordance with just principles. The treaty conquers the Republic, the navigation of the St. Lawrence, commerce with the British Provinces, and the revenues of the United States. It had quieted the animosity which so long existed as regards the fisheries, but had been unable to discover any other advantage derived by it. During the last six years of unity the American vessels passed inward through the St. Lawrence, and only 19 returned by the same highway, though our commerce was so great. Mr. S. gave many statistics on this subject, showing out of how little value was the privilege of navigation. He gave statistics, also, showing how our internal trade and resources were disadvantageously affected by the treaty. He denied that it was in any spirit of passion that its abrogation was proposed.

Mr. Ramsay spoke against the abrogation of the treaty, quoting the facts and views put forth by the Board of Trade of Wheeling, who had that the treaty was all mutually beneficial at this time. Their policy should be to let well enough alone, and be aware more liberal under.

Mr. Sherman said that nations were influenced by their interests in commercial matters, and especially in the case of Great Britain. He believed that our trade to Canada followed under this treaty, as shown by the statements from the Secretary of the Treasury—that is comparatively, since 1855. He did not know, ever, stand upon the ground that the treaty was erroneous at the time it was made. The circumstances were entirely altered. We have now a great system of railroads passing, connecting the former and the west, which would not be abrogated, but would be used and developed more than ever. He would not vote for the repeal of the treaty, but would vote for a notice to Great Britain for the termination of the Reciprocity treaty.

Mr. Howe said he had heretofore decided the postponement of this early day to consider the joint resolution, and he was now constrained by parliamentary law to call it up, without one information. He had opposed the adoption of the treaty as a radical error in financial principles and conventions, and it ought to be thought well of to keep such a measure on in the wrong direction. The treaty had been practically good to both parties to it, and its abrogation could do neither no good. If the object in repealing it was to benefit our commercial or financial interests in the great exigency of the country, he would not object to it. It had not been considered by either the Committee on Commerce or on Finance, but those from the Committee on Foreign Relations, and no report had as yet been made as to why action should be taken. It had been said that the treaty operated all in one way, and that it was not reciprocal at all, but the reasons assigned by those who urge its abrogation were vague and unsatisfactory. He (Mr. Howe) was in favor of the commercial prosperity of the country, and he had taken the trouble to look at the operation of the treaty it gives. Some special pleaders might make out a case against it on a particular point, but its general operation had been supported probably beneficial.

Mr. Hale read a statement from a letter of the Secretary of the Treasury, to show that under the operations of the reciprocity treaty, the exports to Canada had increased in a few years from $4 million to twenty-five millions of dollars. Both the free goods and the dutiable goods had increased. He had been told that Canada had altered her tariff so as to make it burdensome to American commerce. The rates had not been more than twelve per cent., and in the last year they had decreased. In 1861 they were nineteen per cent.; only a little over one degree than in 1850. The statement that there had been unfair advantage taken in the way of duties was, therefore, a mistake. In 1853 the exports to Canada were seven millions, and in 1854 fifteen millions; in 1855, fifteen millions; in 1856 twenty millions, and in 1863 twenty eight millions. The imports had increased from four hundred and ninety thousand dollars to twenty millions.

Mr. Sumner replied at some length. He said the Reciprocity treaty had a beautiful name, but as it was found that in organizing exchange it forged equality and equity; these should be a modification in accordance with just principles. The treaty conquers the Republic, the navigation of the St. Lawrence, commerce with the British Provinces, and the revenues of the United States. It had quieted the animosity which so long existed as regards the fisheries, but had been unable to discover any other advantage derived by it. During the last six years of unity the American vessels passed inward through the St. Lawrence, and only 19 returned by the same highway, though our commerce was so great. Mr. S. gave many statistics on this subject, showing out of how little value was the privilege of navigation. He gave statistics, also, showing how our internal trade and resources were disadvantageously affected by the treaty. He denied that it was in any spirit of passion that its abrogation was proposed.

Mr. Sherman said that nations were influenced by their interests in commercial matters, and especially in the case of Great Britain. He believed that our trade to Canada followed under this treaty, as shown by the statements from the Secretary of the Treasury—that is comparatively, since 1855. He did not know, ever, stand upon the ground that the treaty was erroneous at the time it was made. The circumstances were entirely altered. We have now a great system of railroads passing, connecting the former and the west, which would not be abrogated, but would be used and developed more than ever. He would not vote for the repeal of the treaty, but would vote for a notice to Great Britain for the termination of the Canadian Reciprocity treaty.

Mr. Howe said he had heretofore decided the postponement of this early day to consider the joint resolution, and he was now constrained by parliamentary law to call it up, without one information. He had opposed the adoption of the treaty as a radical error in financial principles and conventions, and it ought to be thought well of to keep such a measure on in the wrong direction. The treaty had been practically good to both parties to it, and its abrogation could do neither no good. If the object in repealing it was to benefit our commercial or financial interests in the great exigency of the country, he would not object to it. It had not been considered by either the Committee on Commerce or on Finance, but those from the Committee on Foreign Relations, and no report had as yet been made as to why action should be taken. It had been said that the treaty operated all in one way, and that it was not reciprocal at all, but the reasons assigned by those who urge its abrogation were vague and unsatisfactory. He (Mr. Howe) was in favor of the commercial prosperity of the country, and he had taken the trouble to look at the operation of the treaty it gives. Some special pleaders might make out a case against it on a particular point, but its general operation had been supported probably beneficial.

Mr. Hale read a statement from a letter of the Secretary of the Treasury, to show that under the operations of the reciprocity treaty, the exports to Canada had increased in a few years from $4 million to twenty-five millions of dollars. Both the free goods and the dutiable goods had increased. He had been told that Canada had altered her tariff so as to make it burdensome to American commerce. The rates had not been more than twelve per cent., and in the last year they had decreased. In 1861 they were nineteen per cent.; only a little over one degree than in 1850. The statement that there had been unfair advantage taken in the way of duties was, therefore, a mistake. In 1853 the exports to Canada were seven millions, and in 1854 fifteen millions; in 1855, fifteen millions; in 1856 twenty millions, and in 1863 twenty eight millions. The imports had increased from four hundred and ninety thousand dollars to twenty millions.

Mr. Sumner replied at some length. He said the Reciprocity treaty had a beautiful name, but as it was found that in organizing exchange it forged equality and equity; these should be a modification in accordance with just principles. The treaty conquers the Republic, the navigation of the St. Lawrence, commerce with the British Provinces, and the revenues of the United States. It had quieted the animosity which so long existed as regards the fisheries, but had been unable to discover any other advantage derived by it. During the last six years of unity the American vessels passed inward through the St. Lawrence, and only 19 returned by the same highway, though our commerce was so great. Mr. S. gave many statistics on this subject, showing out of how little value was the privilege of navigation. He gave statistics, also, showing how our internal trade and resources were disadvantageously affected by the treaty. He denied that it was in any spirit of passion that its abrogation was proposed.

Mr. Ramsay spoke against the abrogation of the treaty, quoting the facts and views put forth by the Board of Trade of Wheeling, who had that the treaty was all mutually beneficial at this time. Their policy should be to let well enough alone, and be aware more liberal under