THE

Pacific Guano Co.'s Works,

AT

WOOD'S HOLE and CHARLESTON, S. C.

The works of the Company constructed at the above places are of the most extensive and complete character, and involve an outlay in fixed capital of from $300,000 to $400,000. The investment of so large an amount of fixed capital in works and machinery, besides that required for the prosecution of the trade, necessarily identifies the interests of this Company with the agricultural interests of the South-ern and Middle States; hence it is the policy and highest interest of the Company to avail of every resource within the reach of enterprise and the legitimate use of capital, to furnish planters with the most effective concentrated fertilizer that can be produced from the resources at command. Certainly, enterprise and capital cannot be employed in any more useful channel than that directed to an increase of the products of labor devoted to agriculture.

The soil is the ultimate source from which the wealth and prosperity of nations is derived; hence those agencies which materially increase its products, without an increase of labor, are of the highest public utility.

Agricultural chemistry teaches that the power of soils to produce crops depends upon the presence in them of certain well-known elements, which furnish essential nutrition to plants; that without the presence of these elements in adequate quantity a soil cannot be productive. It also teaches that by long-continued culture, without restoration, soils once fertile become unproductive by the gradual but certain removal of these elements of fertility, and that the only remedy is found in a system of judicious restoration by means of the direct application of the elements which have been removed. The truth of this teaching of science is confirmed by the experience and observation of the present generation, and the subject now enlists the attention of political economists and scientific men in all parts of the world to an extent never realized at any previous period.

It is an interesting reflection, that just at the period when the necessity arises, it is found that nature has laid up in store, ample supplies of the most essential elements of fertility in forms and conditions which the lapse of time has not impaired; demanding as an equivalent the exercise of *skill, experience* and *enterprise* to render them available for the highest utility in promoting the interests of agriculture.

JOHN S. REESE & CO.,
General Agents.

Correspondence Continued.

VIRGINIA.

Spottsylvania County, Va., St. Julian, Sept. 15, 1869.

I have used the Soluble Pacific Guano for the last two or three years, and the best evidence of its having given entire satisfaction, is found in the fact, that I have bought the same fertilizer for use the present year.

Fluvanna Co., Va., July 21, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond.

Gentlemen—I think that the Pacific Guano acted as well as it could do, for I think my wheat will turn out first rate and a good yield crop of seeds follow the wheat.

Yours with respect,
WM. G. MELTON.

Lunenburg Co., Va., July 23, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond.

Gentlemen—In regard to the Pacific Guano I purchased of you last fall through the House Prabit, I have to say, was the first to use the Pacific Guano in this neighborhood, which was in 1867. I used it on I have with entire satisfaction that year, and have used it ever since. My crops of wheat following tobacco in 1869 was very good. Its clover also the wheat satisfactory, though the season was so dry I did not get a good stand. This year, 1870, my wheat was much lodged from wet, but decidedly benefitted by the Guano. I am better pleased with the Pacific on tobacco than any fertilizer I have ever used, decidedly preferring the same outlay in that to Peruvian Guano.

Respectfully, G. A. WOOD.

P. S. I have now used it on tobacco for three years and recommend it.

Cumberland County, Va., July 23d, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond.

Dear Sirs—Yours of the 20th inst., is received. In reply to your inquiries about the value of Pacific Guano as a fertilizer, we have to say that we could not side by side with Peruvian Guano on wheat last fall. We could see no difference in the wheat, but think the clover looks better where the Pacific Guano was used.

Very respectfully,
JNO. D. JENKINS,
A. B. JENKINS.

Powhatan Co., Va., July 25th, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond.

Dear Sirs—The Pacific Guano bought of you last fall was applied to very thin land, and the wheat seeded late. The crop was as good as I could have expected under the circumstances. The stand of clover is good, and very luxuriant. I tried sweet potatoes; it exceeded my most sanguine expectations; they were the largest and best I ever made, and the yield good.

Very respectfully yours,
WM. E. ROYALL.

Appomattox Co., Va., July 25th, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond:

I used on my wheat crop, last fall, a mixture of Pacific Guano and Peace Flour, at the rate of 100 lbs to the acre, one fourth Bone Flour. I think it equal to Peruvian Guano, if not better. I have generally used 400 lbs Peruvian for wheat; I have since thought if I had used 150 lbs Pacific I would have felt up to Peruvian 200 lbs per acre. I used Pacific alone on tobacco, at the rate of about 200 lbs per acre. I think the growth about double.

Yours respectfully, GEO. ABBOTT, Sr.

Nottoway Co., Va., July 19th, 1870.

Messrs. Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company, Richmond:

Dear Sirs—I can not say at the effects of your Pacific Guano on grass, clover, &c., as I have not used any until this last spring. I used it on tobacco; so far it is looking finely. My own experience, you observe, is very limited. As far as I have been able to learn, the Pacific Guano is giving general satisfaction among the farmers generally. I expect to be able soon to learn something more fully of its effect on crops, grass, &c., and also of its quality as an improver of land, and will write you again.

Respectfully yours, W. W. MORSHAM.

Lynchburg, Va., July, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co., Baltimore.

Gentlemen—We have been selling Soluble Pacific Guano ever since it was introduced into this country in 1865, and have no hesitation in saying that we regard it one of the best fertilizers in the market. Our sales have steadily increased with every season, and many of our customers now will purchase no other. We, however, always endeavor to get them to purchase a few bags of Peruvian Guano to use by the side of the Pacific, so that it may be tested, and the result has always been in favor of the Pacific. Many of them now say, that Pacific is good enough, and they will let Peruvian off.

JONES & MILLER.

Fluvanna County, Va., July 16, 1870.

Messrs Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company.

Gentlemen—I have only used Pacific Guano on wheat and tobacco. So far as my experiment with the Pacific Guano is concerned, I am very much pleased with it, but cannot give it my preference over Peruvian Guano, pound for pound. Though I believe it to be equally as good for wheat as the Peruvian. So far as the grass or weeds are concerned, I can see no difference.

Yours respectfully, (Signed) WM. P. JENNINGS.

Rockingham Co., N. C., July 16, 1870.

Messrs Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company:

Gentlemen—I used Pacific Guano last fall on wheat with very satisfactory results. I applied three hundred pounds per acre on land that was below medium, and think I have an average yield of twenty bushels per acre. I consider Pacific Guano and all other standard fertilizers (imposters when judiciously used and liberally applied.

The effects from Peruvian and Pacific on my tobacco are both very satisfactory, the difference in cost giving Pacific the preference. I have used Pacific on a forty acre lot of corn, one hundred pounds per acre only, and think the per centage of increase will be at least two hundred.

I take pleasure in recommending Pacific Guano to my brother farmers as long as it is kept up to its present standard of value, and would advise its more liberal use.

Yours very respectfully,
J. H. NUNNALLY.

Granville Co., N. C., July 23d, 1870.

Messrs Allison & Addison, Agents Pacific Guano Company Richmond:

Gentlemen—In regard to the Pacific Guano purchased of you last fall through Messrs. Armstead & Peck, we have to say, that one of our neighbors tried it on wheat, on very thin land, and made a very good article, and think, when it is gotten out, will prove over an average yield. He tried the Peruvian on the same land, in the same manner, and find the Pacific its equal in every respect. We are trying it on tobacco, this year, ourselves, and after it matures can give you our experience, which we think, at present, will be favorable, as the tobacco is looking finely at this time.

Yours respectfully,
SANFORD & WELDOURNE.

Hillsboro', N. C., July, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co., Baltimore:

Gents—I give you the experience of the Soluble Pacific Guano I bought of you. Mr. Thos. H. Hughes, who will be elected sheriff of this county the first Thursday in next month, authorized me to say that he tried the Pacific alongside of Peruvian Guano, in trying both, the like amount in weight and also the value, and in both instances the Pacific proved best. I also got from Mr. Berryland and Mr. Henry, and many others, in this county, the same report, some of them saying that it *succeeding crop showed much better than Peruvian.* I had many applications for the Pacific after I sold out, with decided preference for it over Peruvian.

Respectfully, JAMES WEBB, Jr.

DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND PENNSYLVANIA.

Bull's Mills, Sussex Co., Del., July 19th, 1870.

Mr. William H. Dashiell, Agent.

Sir—The Pacific Guano that I bought of you, in the year 1869, I must say that its action on my land has been better than that of any other fertilizer, and although the drought last year was severe, my corn and oats kept much greener and yielded a better crop than that treated with any other fertilizer under my notice, and where I used it this spring, corn and potatoes look fine as well.

Yours very truly,
JAMES H. JOYCE of S.

Laurel, Sussex Co., Delaware, Sept. 14th, 1869.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I used your Pacific Guano, last spring, on corn; can with pleasure recommend it; the effect it produced proved it to be equal, if not superior, to any other manure that I have ever used.

Yours respectfully,
WILLIAM MITCHELL.

Near Laurel, Del., July 16, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I have used Pacific Guano on corn in the hill for the last two years, and am well pleased with its effect, and can recommend it as a first-class fertilizer.

Yours truly,
JAS. W. ANDERSON.

Laurel, July 21, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I have now used Pacific Guano for two years to my entire satisfaction on oats, corn, potatoes and strawberries. In point of durability I have found it preferable to any fertilizer that I have used.

Yours truly,
LEVIN HITCH.

Laurel, Del., July 25, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I have been selling Pacific Guano for the past two years and find that it has given general satisfaction to my customers. Have used myself on wheat, oats, corn, potatoes, clover, small fruit and other crops by the side of other fertilizers, and can truthfully say that I consider it the cheapest manure on the market.

Yours truly, W. W. DASHIELL.

Laurel July 19, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I have used Pacific Guano on wheat, corn, clover and potatoes, and in each case was a three one, and I feel satisfied that it will be to the interest of farmers generally to give the article a trial. I take pleasure in recommending it as an excellent fertilizer.

Laurel, De July 21 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—I have used Pacific Guano on wheat, corn, potatoes, and tomatoes, and in each case it has increased the yield more than any other fertilizer that I have ever used.

THOMAS REARN.

Laurel Del., July 11 1869.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gentlemen—The Pacific Guano I purchased of your agent, W. W. Dashiell, for the last two years, has given entire satisfaction; used it on my wheat last fall by the side of five other fertilizers and the wheat where I used your *Pacific Guano* was ripe *a week or two before any other part of the field.* I used it on oats and clover with entire satisfaction. I also used two tons on a fifty acre field, using one hundred pounds per acre in the hill for corn, which two rows did not help any, and a difference you would hardly believe, though it were to tell you. *I had rather pay fifty dollars per ton for a crop of the Pacific than have me add on Peruvian manure on land, or more if you would give it to me,* for the difference in the application would make the pound manure cost more than the Pacific Guano, and the crop not so good.

Respectfully yours,
WM. S. MOORE.

New Castle, Del., June, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

Dear Sirs—We have used your Pacific Guano for three years, in competition with Howard and Wharton's Phosphates, on wheat and other field crops, and do not hesitate to say that we find it very superior to any of them. As farmers we are pleased that a article of such merit should be introduced into our county, and we state that the demand will be very large.

Yours truly,
CANN & LANDSON.

Felton, Del., July 15, 1870.

Messrs Reese & Co.

Gentlemen—Pacific Guano has been sold by us for several years. It has always given satisfaction to our trade, and as a general rule of fertilizers, we consider it a standard article, quick and reliable.

Very respectfully,
JAS. B. CONNER & SON.

Delmar, June 17, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I have used your Pacific Guano for the past two years with satisfactory results, on corn, potatoes, cabbages, and consider it a strictly No 1 fertilizer in all respects.

W. B. COLE.

Delmar, June 17, 1870.

Messrs. J. S. Reese & Co.

Having used your Pacific Guano for the past three years on corn, wheat, and oats, I take great pleasure in recommending it as a fertilizer, as good as any in the trade in the country.

Truly yours,
ELIJAH FREENY.

Delmar, June 18, 1870.

J. S. Reese & Co.

Gents—After using your Soluble Pacific Guano for the past three or four years, I take great pleasure in recommending it to the public and the community as one of the best crop producing fertilizers of the day.

Respectfully yours,
BENJAMIN ELLIOTT.

Delmar, June 18, 1870

Messrs. J. S. Reese & Co.

Gents—After a thorough trial of your Pacific Guano, I can recommend it to the farming and trucking community as a prime fertilizer, and worthy the attention of all concerned.

Truly yours,
HEZEKIAH HASTING.

Delmar, June 18, 1870.

Messrs. J. S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I have used your Pacific Guano with success for the past two years, and can recommend it as a first-class renovator and fertilizer.

Respectfully yours,
JOSEPH W. HASTING.

Delmar, June 18, 1870

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—From my experience with your Pacific Guano I consider it a very good manure, and should be used to be appreciated.

With respects,
WM. R. ELLIS

Delmar, June 19, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I have used the Soluble Pacific Guano in comparison with other Phosphates, and it gave the best results.

Yours,
E. B. GORDY.

Delmar, June 18, 1870.

J. S. Reese & Co.

I have used your Guano, and am highly satisfied with its results.

J. M. O'ELLIOTT.

Poverty Hill Farm, near Delmar, June 17, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

I used your Soluble Pacific Guano on corn this spring, and from the appearance of the corn at this time will raise a good crop. Have also applied it to oats with very encouraging results.

W. L. SIMON,
Of the firm of Simon & Co.

New Castle County, near Wilmington, Del.,
June 16th, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co., Philadelphia.

Gents—Two years ago I tried your Pacific Guano on wheat, putting two-thirds of your Pacific Guano along side of three-thirds of three other standard Fertilizers, using them side by side in the same field. There was a marked difference in favor of your Pacific Guano. Have been using it ever since with excellent effect; do not hesitate to say that it is the best Fertilizer of the kind in the market.

Yours,
THOMAS WHITE.

Delmar, Del., June, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—Annexed please find a list of parties who are anxious to testify to the superiority of your Soluble Pacific Guano in comparison with other articles here for sale in this section of country on all crops. Your Guano has proved itself to be the best Fertilizer used in this section.

JOHN M. MILLS,
IRVING TODD,
J. W. CONNELLY,
J. M. NICHOLS,
S. E. FROSKEY & BRO.
WINDER HASTINGS,
WASHINGTON B. CALLOWAY,
JOSEPH GILLISS,
ISAAC HEARN,
SAMUEL M. HASTINGS,
ELI S. HASTINGS.

Rising Sun, Cecil County, Md., June, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I used your Pacific Guano last year on corn in the hill alongside of Barrett's Phillips' Phosphate, in the same field, and where I used your Guano, my crop was at least one-third better. I consider 100 pounds of your Soluble Pacific Guano will give a better result than 200 pounds of Moro Phillips' Phosphate on any crop.

Yours truly,
WM. T. REYNOLDS.

Rising Sun, Cecil County, Md., June, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I have used your Pacific Guano on wheat and potatoes, and on the latter crop last year I raised 200 bushels of fine, large Peachblows to the acre. Our farmers in this vicinity are so well satisfied with its results on wheat and clover, that it is unnecessary to say anything about it on their crops. My own wheat is as good as I want to raise, and I only used 400 pounds per acre.

Yours truly,
H. J. BRISCOE.

Rising Sun, Cecil County, Md., June, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I bought some of your Pacific Guano last fall for my wheat, which I sowed on some very poor soil. I now consider my wheat is as fine as any in this part of the country, and the clover is fine, thanks to your Guano. This spring I used it on corn, which looks beautiful. There is no doubt about the value of the Guano over other Fertilizers. Yours truly,

DAVID D. McCRAY.

Rising Sun, Cecil County, Md., June, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.

Gents—I have used your Pacific Guano on corn in the hill with entire satisfaction. It is the best Fertilizer I ever used, although I have farmed for many years. I shall continue to use it on any crops.

Yours truly,
ISAAC REYNOLDS.

Adamstown, Frederick Co., Md., July 22d, 1870.

Messrs. John S. Reese & Co.:

Gents,—I never used the Pacific Guano before last fall, when I purchased one ton as an experiment, and applied it on my corn; but wheat, and can see no difference in the wheat by the side of which I used the most popular fertilizer in the neighborhood, but can see a *marked difference in the clover where the Pacific was used.* I am so well pleased with the experiment that I shall use several tons this fall.

Yours respectfully,
RICHARD F. T. DUTROW.

Adamstown, Md., July 21, 1870.

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent

Dear Sir—I have been using Pacific Guano now for four years, during which time, I have used C's and T's Excelsior, and I like the Pacific as well as either.

Respectfully,
D. P. THOMAS.

Adamstown Md., July 21, 1870.

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent.

Dear Sirs—For several years I have used the Pacific Guano alongside of C and Excelsior, and can see no difference. I am very well pleased with Pacific.

Yours,
WM. H. MICHAEL.

Adamstown, Md., July 7, 1870.

G. T. Koblenberg Esq., Agent

Sir—I used Pacific Guano last year, and the ist as well as Excelsior, or any I have ever tried.

Respectfully, &c.
W. T. HARWOOD.

Greenfield Mills, Md., July 4 1870.

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent.

Sir—I used Pacific in '68, and was as well pleased with it as any fertilizer I have ever used.

Yours,
J. O. MOBLEY.

Adamstown, Md., July 9, 1870.

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent.

Dear Sir—I have been using Pacific Guano now three years, and find it as well, if not better, than any other I have used.

Respectfully, &c.
SAMUEL DUTROW, Jr.

Near Adamstown, Md., July 22, 1870

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent.

Dear Sir—I am as well pleased with the result of Pacific Guano as any I have ever used. I have used Excelsior and others.

Respectfully, &c.
J. FRANKLIN THOMAS.

Near Adamstown, Md., July 19th, 1870

G. T. Koblenberg, Agent

I hereby certify that I have used Pacific Guano together with Phosphate, Excelsior, and other Guano, for the last 8 or 10 years, and I always thought the Pacific to do the best.

LEWIS E. THOMAS.

Greenfield Mills, Md., July 8, 1870.

Mr. Geo. T. Koblenberg, Agent.

Sir—In compliance with your request, asking how I like the Pacific Guano, will state that I have used it for several years, and sowed it with my wheat, and have the satisfaction to inform you that it answered better than any fertilizer I ever tried.

J. H. SYLENCE.

Greenfield Mills, Md., July 2d, 1870.

Mr. Geo. T. Koblenberg:

Sir—Three years ago I commenced the use of the Pacific Guano, and have since used nothing else on wheat. I have found it equally as good as anything I have ever tried, and do recommend it to all engaged in raising wheat.

EDMUND L. YINGLING.

Gwynedd, Montgomery County, Pa., April 21, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

Gents,—I purchased from your Agent at North Wales, Mr J. D. Mayer, two bags of your Pacific Guano, and tried it by the side of Moro Phillips' Phosphate, and it far exceeds it both in the grain and grass. I can recommend it to all who use patent Manure as the best that I have used.

EDWIN J. FYLE.

Fremont, Chester County, Pa., June, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co.

Dear Sirs—I have been using your Pacific Guano for two years, with great results. I have used it particularly on corn and wheat, where its superiority is indisputable. It pays me well to use it, and I shall continue to do so in preference to anything else.

Yours truly,
ISAAC NICHOLS.

West Grove, Pa., June 17, 1870.

Messrs John S. Reese & Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

I have used the Pacific Guano purchased from your Agents at West Grove, S. K. Chambers & Bro., with entire satisfaction. The results on my wheat at the present time is most marked, and I prefer it to many of the Fertilizers heretofore used, and consider it one of our most valuable Fertilizers, and well worthy the attention of farmers and truckers. Yours respectfully,

HOWARD PRESTON.

Avondale, Pa., June 16, 1870.

S. K. Chambers & Bro.

Esteemed Friends—Yours of to-day is received, and in reply to your inquiry in relation to my trial of the "Pacific Guano," I must state that they are not yet enough matured to give anything like a concise report, which I may endeavor to do in the future, if desired. Am very much pleased with appearances thus far, and deem it one of the most economical Fertilizers in the market; at least intend using it in the future, especially for vegetables.

Yours respectfully,
CHAS. T. STARR.

Messrs John S Reese & Co. West Grove, Pa., June 20, 1870.

General Agents Pacific Guano Co., Philadelphia.

Having been selling the Pacific Soluble Guano for two years, it has given satisfaction wherever used, and as long as the Company keep it up to the present standard will be one of the leading fertilizers.

Very respectfully,
S. K. CHAMBERS & BRO.

Testimony of 1870.

Annexed will be found the names of Correspondents whose letters appear in this paper. They testify to their *experience* in the use of *Soluble Pacific Guano*, running through a period of from one to five years. These letters are from farmers in *Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania.* They give their experience of the use of this Guano applied to all crops grown in these States. They all testify to its *excellence*, both in its *remarkable* effects upon the crop to which it is applied, and to its effects as an improver of the soil. If space permitted we could add many more letters, of similar character, from farmers and planters in North and South Carolina, Georgia, and Alabama.

We venture to suggest that it would be simply an *impossibility* to get together such an array of testimony on any subject, if the thing testified to was not TRUE.

JOHN WM. COLLINS	Charlotte Co., Va.
P. H. ANDERSON	Prince Edward
D. W. BROWN	Hanover
M. C. CRENSHAW	Hanover
C. R. VAUGHAN	Charlotte
ROBT. D. JOHNSON	Buckingham
H. L. HERNDON	Fluvanna
R. S. CAMPBELL	Hanover
N. B. JAMES, M. D.	Hanover
J. F. CARPENTER	Hanover
H. A. CARRINGTON	Charlotte
IRA AYRES, Jr.	Hanover
NATHANIEL W. DIGGS	Fluvanna
JAS. CHAPPELL	Amelia
J. C. ANGEL	Nottoway
A. K. YANCY, Jr.	Albemarle
FRIER & BRAZEAL	Cumberland
R. C. TONEY	Cumberland
WM. H. HARRIS & BRO.	Buckingham
A. M. MARTIN & SON	Chesterfield
JOS. WILMER	Albemarle
HENRY E. SMITH	Smith's Cross Roads, Va.
WM. H. JONES	Mecklenburg Co., Va.
A. H. BRACEY	Mecklenburg
JOSIAH HIGGINS	New Kent
WARREN M. NOEL	Louisa
THOS. SMITH	Pittsylvania
WILLIAM GRAVATT	Caroline
B. F. BRYCE	Hanover
L. B. GATEWOOD	Caroline
H. F. GAINES	Charlotte
H. G. OWEN	Halifax
R. L. BRUCE	Prince Edward
T. E. WHITWORTH	Amelia
JONES & LEAKE	Lynchburg, Va.
THOS. M. KENT	Fluvanna Co., Va.
J. K. NOEL	Fluvanna
WALTER D. LEAKE	Goochland
A. H. FURGUSSON	Charles City
G. C. HANNAH	Charles
J. H. NUNNALLY	Rockingham
W. F. PRAGUE	Rockbridge
Jos. B. MCREAN	Albemarle
WM. F. JENNINGS	Fluvanna
R. N. MORRISON	Appomattox
JOHN SEARS BRAZEAL	Appomattox
A. G. WARE	Appomattox
JAS. M. DILLON	"
WM. P. TURNER, M. D.	Powhatan
HENRY R. FARMER	Pittsylvania
J. P. JONES	Buckingham
RICHARD PAGE	Chesterfield
S. P. CAMPBELL	Rockbridge
JOHN F. WHITFIELD	Powhatan
R. F. SMITH	Caroline
J. R. WATKINS	Charlotte
A. M. GREEN	Stafford
R. W. COLBERT	Spottsylvania
WARREN, SON & CO.	Prince Edward
P. H. WHEELER	Augusta
W. B. PORTER	Augusta
W. H. MOORMAN	Augusta
R. SUMMERSON	Staunton
M. A. COINER	Augusta
P. T. BUCKHOLDER	Staunton
JOHN G FULTON, Jr.	Spottsylvania
F. E. BROOKE	Spottsylvania
A. G. HUDSPETH	Oak Grove
JOHN TROTTER	Mecklenburg
WM. G. MELTON	Fluvanna
ASA M. RYALL	Lunenburg
G. A. WOOD	Cumberland
JOHN D. JENKINS	Cumberland
A. B. JENKINS	Powhatan
WM. E. ROYALL	Appomattox
GEO. ABBOTT, Sr.	Nottoway
W. W. MORSHAM	Greenville, N. C.
SANFORD & WELBOURNE	Hillsboro', N. C.
JAS. WEBB, Jr.	Hillsboro', N. C.
JOSHUA R. NORTON	Hightstown, N. J.
GEO. WEST	"
D. P. HUTCHINSON	"
C. PEPPER	"
G. W. FIELDER	Rancocas, "
ABEL TROTH	"
THOS. DENNIS	Hightstown, "
JOHN B. APPLEGATE	"
J. PERRINE	Rancocas, "
A. N. TROTH	"
GEO. JOHNSON	"
SOL. J. WILLS	"
TIMOTHY M. BISHOP	Salem, "
GEO. M. WILLS	"
EBENEZER MULFORD	"
WM. SHEPPARD	"
JOS. BROWN	Vineland, "
ELIJAS LAYTON	Pittsville, "
WM. H. WARD	Egg Harbor, "
ARCH. CAMPBELL, Sr.	Columbus, "
BARKLY KERLIN	Frederick Co., Md.
ALFRED ADAMS	"
THOS. HEARN	"
WM. S. MOORE	"
JOHN M. MILLS AND OTHERS	Delmar
CANN & LAMBSON	New Castle Co., Del.
JAS. B. CONNER & SON	Felton, "
W. B. COLE	Delmar, "
ELIJAH FREENY	"
BENJ. ELLIOTT	"
HEZEKIAH HASTING	"
JOS. W. HASTING	"
WM. R. ELLIS	"
D. B. GORDY	"
J. M. G. ELLIOTT	"
WM. L. SIMON	New Castle Co., Del.
THOS. WHITE	Greenville, "
EDWIN FYLE	Fremont, "
ISAAC NICHOLS	West Grove, Pa.
HOWARD PRESTON	Avondale, "
CHAS. T. STARR	West Grove, "
S. K. CHAMBERS & BRO.	"