## THE CHRONICLE.

SATURDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 18, 1847.

FOR PRESIDENT

GEN'L. ZACHARY TAYLOR,

FOR GOVERNOR

WM. T. GOLDSBOROUGH.

For Congress. John W. Crisfield, of Somerset County.

FOR THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES, Col. JOHN H. HODSON, JAS. BOND CHAPLAIN, REUBEN TALL, BENJAMIN G. KEENE. FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, JAMES HAMMERSLY, JOHN MUIR, WILLIAM K. TRAVERS.

The Orphans' Court of Dorchester County will sit on Monday next the 20th inst.

The communication signed "F. J. Henry," and the article prefacing it are unavoidably crowded out this week. They shall appear in our

We ask the careful attention of the readers of the "Chronicle" to the articles upon the first page of this week's paper in reference to the charge made against Mr. Thomas, of advocating the doctrine of "representation according to population"-and also the communication upon the subject of Mr. Goldsborough's agency in defeating the bill regulating the cording of wood sold in Baltimore city.

and admitted incompetency" of Mr. Goldsborough. Will that paper inform us what evidence the Executive chair? And what avails the brightthe mad impulses of popular excitement, great they clothe with high trusts a man whose "splendid abilities" may be wielded to the destruction of their dearest rights.

## MR. THOMAS—AND REPRESENTATION

ACCORDING TO TAXATION. We have charged the Loco Foco candidate for Governor, Mr. P. Francis Thomas, with having advocated the doctrine of "Representation according to Taxation" in his late speech at Hagerstown. Some of the Loco Foco journals have denied the construction placed upon Mr. Thomas language by the Whigs, but there has been no denial of the words imputed to Mr. Thomas. In truth it is an undeniable fact that he did use such language. The words were uttered at a public meeting, and the Hagerstown Torch Light refers to Joseph I. Merrick Esq. and other leading Loco Focos of Washington county for the truth of the statement. The friends of Mr. Thomas equivocate and refuse to meet the charge boldly. Mr. Thomas himself cannot, will not deny he said

substantially as follows:--I AM IN FAVOR OF REPRESENTATION ACCORDING TO TAXATION: THAT IS, IF YOU PAY TAXES ON DOLLAR'S WORTH OF PROPERTY MORE THAN I DO I AM WILLING YOU SHALL HAVE A DOLLAR WORTH OF INFLUENCE MORE THAN I HAVE."

It is true Mr. Thomas has written a letter upon the subject which his friends endeavor to make appear as a positive denial of the charge, but the letter in fact ADMITS THE CHARGE. It will be found in another column, and we ask the reader to examine it carefully and see for himsel whether Mr. Thomas does not admit substantial ly that he is in favor of "Representation according to Taxation." No other construction can be placed upon it. Torture and pervert it as they may, in plain English it amounts to nothing more nor less than "Representation according to Taxation." And no man of intelligence will deny that to carry out such a doctrine it must necessarily lead to "property qualification,"

Can the people sustain a man who advocates such odious and anti-republican doctrines? Ye your bread by the sweat of your brows, will you ation." We ask the careful attention of our rea- Weber moved that the bill be recommitted with him every boatman and wood seller should vote you of the dearest right a freeman can boast of Will the laboring classes sustain by their votes's man who would affix a badge of degradation upon every man who is poor, and deprive him of the right to vote because he is not blessed with a certain amount of property? It cannot be. There is enough public virtue left to break in sunder the contributions made to the treasury, and the benethe man who under the specious guise of Democracy would deprive them of the most sacred rights they enjoy,

MR. THOMAS-GILL NETS, &c .- Mr. Thomas claims to be a full-blooded Democrat-the peculiar friend of the poor man. If his professions are alone to be regarded he does indeed possess a lively sympathy for the man of poverty and toil, but, unfortunately, his acts contradict his professions, and shew him to be an oppressor of the poor-a despiser of their rights, and a contemner of their interests. On the 8th of March 1844 a law was enacted by the Legislature of Maryland entitled "An act to preserve the breed of Fish in the waters of the Chesapeake Bay, and for other purposes," which prohibits the placing of "any stakes, piles or other pieces of timber for the purpose of attaching seines or nets thereto, with the view of taking shad or fish of any description" in the waters therein named, under a penalty of fifty dollars. MR. P. FRANCIS THOMAS VO-TED FOR THIS LAW, as will be seen by reference to the House Journal 1843, page 449, and by reference to the Senate Journal 1843, page 149, it will be seen that WM. T. GOLDSBO-ROUGH VOTED AGAINST IT.

Now would it be believed that Mr. Thomas The last "Easton Star" speaks of the "known who professes so much regard for the rights and interests of the poor, would vote for a law depri-Mr. Thomas has furnished of his competency for The law affects only the very poor. The rich man can have his haul seine and supply himself with est intellect if it be directed to the destruction of fish, but the poor man who is unable to buy a haul the best interests of the State? Is intellectual com- seine, yet is able to buy a few pounds of thread fluence," what can you call it but "property qualpetency the only requisite for Governor? Is no and make a gill net that will supply himself and ification?" Is not the effect the same? Where regard to be had for the principles of the candibles family with fish—he is prohibited from using is the distinction between them? Mr. Thomas date-no attention paid to his political acts? Mr. it, under a penalty of fifty dollars. Have we not Thomas may be all that his friends claim for him indeed reached a nice point in this Democratic in point of intellectual capacity, but how has he age when poor men are prohibited by law from right as well as himself to judge of the true inferexercised his endowments? Not for the glory and using the only kind of nets they are able to obtain, honor of his State. When gloom and despon- for the purpose of supplying their families with dency overshadowed her fate-when a portion of fish-while those who are able to purchase haul her own degenerate offspring were attempting to seines are left at liberty to use them as they see sully her reputation with the damning stain of re- proper? How many poor men are there in this me that a charge was very generally circulated to pudiation, did Mr. Thomas exercise his powers | Congressional district who own gill nets and who | the effect, that | in that part of my speech at Hato protect and save the character of his State from supply their families with fish from them, who are degradation and ruin? No! He exerted his ut- not able to purchase a haul seine, and to whom most abilities to accelerate her fall, and fix the fa- this law would apply? We know many who toil from the following language, said to have been tal stain indellibly upon her name! He took the all day and set their gill nets at night, and next used by me—that the man who paid five dollars lead in the emprise against her honor, and would morning procure fish enough to serve their fami- into the Treasury ought to have more influence have degraded her into the sink of bankruptcy lies during the day-perhaps have a few to spare and repudiation had his measures been sustained. which they can sell to a neighbor. But carry out Mr. Goldsborough may not possess the splendid Mr. Thomas' doctrine, and these men would be tainty, that I did not, either at Hagerstown or at abilities that Mr. Thomas' friends claim for that denied the privilege, and compelled either to buy gentleman, but his lofty virtue—his unfaltering fish from their richer neighbors or do without I, in common with the democratic party, have evdevotion to the honor and interests of Maryland them entirely. And yet this man calls himself a -his fidelity to the constitution-his pure and Democrat, and asks the people to elevate him by upright character, and his strong practical sense their votes to the Gubernatorial chair of Maryland. and judgment, all stamp him as a safer deposito- Will the poor man whom he would deprive of the ry of the high trusts he aspires to than the man privilege of obtaining a supply of fish from the free whose superior talents have been exerted against waters for his family's use-will he support him? the honor and welfare of his native State. In the Will he thus sanction and endorse the attempt to absence of a proper regard for the public faith, deprive his family of one of their means of subsisand that sound conservatism which seeks to check tence? Will he sustain a man who by his vote against the setting of gill nets has in effect said, talents only render their possessor more danger- let the man who is able to have a haul seine fish ous to the public weal, and for this reason sober, when and where he pleases, but the poor man long speech, a sentence may have been uttered, who can only afford to have a gill net shall not use that for the purpose of catching fish-and if he dares do it he shall be liable to a fine of fifty dollars for every offence.

> THAT RED PATCH .- We wonder if Mr. Goldsborough still thinks that "every poor man ought to have a red patch on his back to distinguish him from a gentleman,' and we wonder whether there is any Whig print in Maryland which will deny that this was a favorite sentiment with his aristocratic Sire, and was so forcibly impressed upon the Son, that water cannot wash it out, nor fire

We clip the above from the "Democratic Standard" published in Baltimore, and inform that paper there is a "Whig print in Maryland which will deny that" the above "was a favorite sentiment", with Mr. Goldsborough's sire. We knew his sire, and can bear testimony to his uprightness and integrity as a gentleman and a man of honor .-Such a sentiment is in direct conflict with his virtues have descended to his son as every man who knows him can attest. His sire never uttered such a sentiment and the "Standard" well knew the fact. The slander originated in Frederick county, and was at first imputed to a gentleman, who once resided in that county, bearing the name of Goldsborough. For party purposes it has been perverted until it is now ascribed to the father of the Whig Gubernatorial candidate, and before the election it will doubtless be imputed to Wm. T. Goldsborough himself. We wonder if the conscience of the "Standard" sends up no answering veto to the conception and circulation of such vile calumnies upon the dead and the living? Or has he surrendered his soul and body to the keeping of the genius of Loco Focoism? If he is capable of shame his cheek should blister at the recollection of the slanders he has promulgated in regard to the Whig Gubernatorial candidate.

TION.—We publish below the letter of Mr. Thom- for consideration the bill reported by Mr. Cathell cing the least disregard of the interests of boat- ty, I will attend at New Market on Saturday eve-

puted to him. Mr. Thomas in his letter says:-"I recollect referring to the subject of taxation | House Journal 1843, pages 78 & 79. and representation, and the inequality both in the

shackles of party, and enable the people to spurn fits derived from it, by the large and small counties, confending that the larger counties should possess in the popular branch of the Legislature a greater influence than they now have."

Now we submit it to the intelligence of every man if the above extract from Mr. Thomas' letter dees not admit he is in favor of "representation according to faxation." He says the 'larger counties should possess in the popular branch of the Legislature a greater influence than they now And why? Because they are more populous? No. On account of their greater extent of territory? No. But on account of their "contributions made to the treasury!" Now what are "contributions" to the treasury but taxes? And measures he knows to be proper, or advocates if the larger counties are represented in the popular branch of the Legislature according to the amount of their "contributions" to the treasurythat is according to the amount of taxes paid by them-would not that be "representation according to taxation?"

But, says Mr. Thomas, I did not advocate "property qualification." No one alleges he did advocate "property qualification" in terms. His own words are given, and the Whigs contend that 'property qualification" is the necessary result of the doctrine of "representation according to tax ation." Mr. Thomas himself-furnishes the true construction of his language, and the true results of his doctrine. He says: "I am in favor of representation according to taxation: that is, if you pay taxes on a dollar's worth of property more than I do, I am willing you shall have a dollar's worth of influence more than I have." Now if a man is to have "influence"—that is votes, for no other meaning can be attached to the term-according to the value of his property, does it not ving them of the privilege of catching fish in nots? follow as a necessary consequence that he who holds no property will have no "influence"-no vote? If a man who holds property has "influence" according to the value of that propertymay deny the inference that has been drawn from

CUMBERLAND, Aug. 27th, 1847. DEAR SIR:-Upon my arrival here on yesterday, I received your letter of the 25th inst., informing gerstown, in which I discussed the Reform question, I advocated the doctrine of "property qualithan he who paid nothing." As I have never entertained an opinion favorable to a property qualany other place or time, advocate a doctrine which er viewed as odious and absurd.

It is utterly impossible for me to remember the precise language used in the course of a long speech. I recollect referring to the subject of taxation and representation, and the inequality both in the contributions made to the treasury and the benefits derived from it, by the large and small counties, contending that the larger counties should possess in the popular branch of the Legislature a greater influence than they now have: but how, in any manner, my remarks could have been practised upon them by the wood corbe tortured into an advocacy of a principle which I abhor, I am at a loss to imagine.

which in the abstract, was liable to misconstruction. I undertake, however, to say, that there was not one word or syllable uttered by me on the occasion refered to, which in the mind of any sensible or honorable man, or one not predisposed to misrepresent, if taken in connexion with the context and subject-matter of discussion, could have induced even the remotest idea of an intention on my part to favor the doctrine of property qualification. The assertion, therefore, is a base and infamous falsehood, and one which no truly honorable man would ascribe to me.

I am, very truly, your friend,

P. F. THOMAS. Hon. JNO. T. MASON.

Conventional Reform .- Mr. Thomas in his thorough-going advocate of Conventional Reform. Journal page 181. The following is the law re-The Loco Foco papers in the State are constantly ringing the changes upon this subject, and labor earnestly to convince the people that the present Constitution is defective and needs re-modeling. We have ever doubted their sincerity. We have never believed they desired any change or reform character-his hospitality and benevolence knew except such as would reform them in office and no difference between rich and poor. The same reform Whigs out. We have on all occasions ascribed their clamor to a hungering and thirsting land, That from and after the passage of this act, seen no reason to change our opinion. We do dors of wood brought to the city of Baltimore by not believe the people of Maryland are laboring water for sale, to dispose of the same without beunder any evils for which a new Constitution ing measured by the inspectors or corders of wood would supply a remedy-much less do we believe in said city; provided, the purchaser or purchasers that any that could be devised would better se- thereof agree to the measurement of the said vencure the rights of property and person and pro- dor or vendors, and that the inspectors or corders mote the happiness of the people than the one as aforesaid shall have no claim for measurement under which we live. But our opponents profess in such case. to think differently, and Mr. Thomas himself regards Conventional Reform as a panacea for all the above law that it fully provides for and prothe evils in the body politic. By that means he tects the wood sellers against all the evils they to the Ladies and Gentlemen of Cambridge for proposes to relieve the people from the burthens suffered at the hands of wood corders, by leaving their kind patronage, and also for their kindness. of taxation, and bring about a state of prosperity it optional with themselves either to measure hitherto unknown to them. And he further says their own wood or employ a wood corder to do it he believes Conventional Reform is the only for them; while the bill which "L. M. N." praises mode by which can be effected the deliverance of so much would, had it not been defeated by Mr. the people from the burthens they are groaning Goldsborough, have repealed the above taw, and

questionably admits he is in favor of "representa- sentation upon a fair and equitable basis." The tion according to taxation," while in no single in- question was put on the motion of Mr. Weber, stance does he deny having used the words im- and Mr. Thomas voted against the proposition to call a Convention to revise the Constitution. See

Now if Mr. Thomas be honest in his views in regard to the beneficial effects of Conventional Reform, what excuse can his friends furnish for his vote above referred to? If Mr. Thomas is sincere in saying he believes Conventional Reform is the only mode by which the people can be relieved from the burthens of taxation, what apology can be made for his refusal to lend his aid in relieving them? His friends must answer these questions. The people have a right to know and they demand to know why Mr. Thomas in 1844 voted against Conventional Reform—the only mode that he says exists by which the people can escape taxation? It is thus his acts so positively contradict his declarations, and prove that like others of his party he either votes against those he knows to be improper.

"L. M. N."-This writer makes his appearance again in the "Democrat" and labors hard to excite public odium against Mr. Goldsborough, the Whig candidate for Governor, for defeating a bill whilst he was in the Senate "relating to the measuring of wood in the city of Baltimore." He exthe bill says so! The sections of the bill are over- bridge. This was all that was required looked and disregarded, and the mere assumption Doct. Cox was charged with having of the premible taken for gospel truth. What Cambridge, and the card denied the time and space be spared to us.

calculated to benefit the "wood and boating in terests," he will find that the only change it proposed to effect in the practices of wood sellers it imposes upon wood corders to measure wood in carts, and the requirement that all wood carried to the city of Baltimore for sale shall be actually measured before the same shall be sold. The bill required all wood to be measured by wood corders before it was sold, and if wood sellers had been imposed upon, as the preamble to the bill assumes, "by the imperfect and irregular manner in which the same is measured," this bill afforded them no protection, because, if disposed to impose upon them, the wood corders could have effected their object as easily in measuring wood upon the wharves as in measuring it in carts. The great complaint of wood sellers was that the wood corders in measuring their wood were disposed to favor wood buyers at the expense of the sellers: and it will be perceived at a glance that the bill which "L. M. N." lauds so highly fails entirely to protect wood sellers against the grievances they suffered, because the same impositions could ders in measuring wood upon the wharves, as they alleged were practiced upon them in the measurement of wood in carts. This of itself by Schoolmen. was a sufficient reason why the bill should not become a law-it would in no wise have remedied the evils complained of, and would have subjected wood sellers to greater inconveniences than existed under the old system. But there was another reason why the bill should be rejected. By reference to the Journal of the Senate of December Session 1843, it will be seen that on the 7th March 1844 a law was enacted on this very subject, which fully provided for all the evils complained of, by repealing the necessity of having wood measured by wood corders; but it the bill which "L. M. N." refers to had passed it would have repealed the first bill, and made it necessary that all wood should be measured by wood corders before it was sold, because the latter bill came up for consideration after the first bill had been passed into a law—it having been peeches on the Western Shore avows himself a rejected on the 9th March 1844. See Senate ferred to, and may be found among the laws o December Session 1843, chapter 281:—

An act entitled a further supplement to the ac. authorizing the appointing of inspectors and wood corders, & to regulate the cording of wood, brought to the city of Bultimore.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Maryafter the "spoils" of office, and we have as yet it shall and may be lawful for the vendor or ven-

It will be readily perceived from a perusal of still left wood sellers liable to the impositions of Notice for 1847, I have made an arrangements for Now is Mr. Thomas honest and sincere in de- wood corders. The bill Mr. Goldsborough defea- the purchase of certain amounts of State Certificlaring such to be his sentiments? We believe ted, notwithstanding the assumptions of its pre- cates, and I hereby notify the tax payers of Dornot, and are prepared to establish by his own vote amble, was really intended to benefit wood cord- chester county that I will allow on their State that he is opposed to Conventional Reform. On ers-while the law which passed effectually pro- charges a discount of fifteen cents on the dollar, the 10th of January 1844 the House of Delegates, tects wood sellers against the injustice formerly up to the 27th of this instant. For the conven-REPRESENTATION ACCORDING TO TAXA- (of which Mr. Thomas was a member) took up practiced upon them. So far therefore from evinience of those living in the upper part of the counas in relation to the charge preferred against him entitled "an act to reduce the number of members men and wood sellers. Mr. Goldsborough's course nings to receive their dues. of having stated in his Hagerstown speech that he in the House of Delegates." After various mo- in this matter shews his true appreciation of the ficates. hard-handed sons of poverty and toil, who earn is in favor of "Representation according to Tax- tions to strike out and postpone the bill, "Mr. real interests of wood sellers, and in gratitude to Sept, 11 3t

ders to this letter. It is all the Whigs desire to instructions to the Committee, to report a bill to for him. We advise "L. M. N." to continue his sustain the charge against Mr. Thomas, and if call a convention to revise the Constitution, and researches. We feel greatly indebted to him for there be meaning in language it clearly and un- amongst other things establish a system of repre- furnishing us with this fact so strongly illustrative of Mr. Goldsborough's sagacity, and his disposition to protect the boating interests against the injuries heretofore complained of.

Justice to ourself requires a brief notice of the article which appeared in the last "Democrat" over the signature of "B. D. Jackson." Mr. Jackson publishes the result of an interview between himself and Doct. C. C. Cox of Easton, and attempts by his subsequent comments to shew that we exceeded the authority given us by Doct. Cox, and instead of simply denying the "locality" of the remarks ascribed to him by Mr. Jackson, we so framed the card as to "have the force of a full denial both as to place and fact."-"Malignily" and "embittered feelings" towards B. D. Jackson are the motives he ascribes to us for this alleged perversion of Doct. Cox's intention. All this is mere "stuff and fustian." The eard of Doct. Cox reads as follows:-

"A CARD.—Having seen an article in the last 'Democrat" over the signature of B. D. Jackson in which I am referred to as having stated in Cambridge "that Mr. Loockerman had pronounced Mr. Goldsborough "an Ass and the Prince of Asses"-I authorize you to pronounce the assertion false and unfounded.

CHRIS. C. COX.

Sept. 3, 1847.

The "assertion" which is here pronounced "false and unfounded" may be found in the "Depatiates largely upon the vast benefits this bill mocrat" of the 1st. ult. and reads as follows:would have effected for the "wood and boating "Dr. C. C. Cox, a gentleman residing in Easton, interests," and charges Mr. Goldsborough with stated here that Mr. Loockerman had pronounsacrificing the "interests of the people of this ced Mr. Goldsborough "an ass and the prince of County" to "promote the interests of those who asses." Now it will be perceived that the charge by their practices were imposing upon us." is that Doct. Cox made this statement in Cam-What warrant has this writer for such broad as- bridge, and what says the card of Doct. Cox?sumptions? For what reason does he say such a Does it deny that he made such a statement in bill was "intended and calculated to protect a Easton? No. Does it deny in general terms that large and respectable interest of this his native he made such a statement at all? No. It simply County?" Why simply because the preamble to denies that he made such a statement in Camwould be thought of "L. M. N." if he were to such a statement here. How then does this rise in a Court of Law and contend that the pre- denial "have the force of a full denial both as to amble to a law furnished the true and legitimate place and fact?" Mr. Jackson complains that we construction of that law. We apprehend "L. M. did not emphasize the "locality." If we had done N." values his reputation too highly to place him- so it would have amounted to a virtual admission self in such a ridiculous position. Preambles that Doct. Cox had made such statements elsesometimes assist in getting at the true meaning of where-a fact that we knew not. How should laws where the language is ambiguous, but we we know that Doct. Cox made such a statement have yet to learn in what Court the law itself is in the presence of James Ll. Martin and Wm. B. his language, and may contend it does not mean disregarded and the language of the preamble re- Clarke Esqrs. in Easton. We have not the facceived as the exclusive criterion of construction, ulty of chairvoyance, to know what statements Dr. This writer has before involved himself in a simi- Cox makes in Easton. The charge as made and ence deducible from his remarks. The following lar absurdity in regard to Mr. Thomas' repudiating localized was all we had to repel, and that we principles, and one we shall not fail to notice if met by an authorized denial from Doct. Cox of having made such statements in Cambridge. Mr. If the reader will examine the various sections of the bill which "L. M. N." considers so highly Cambridge—he was so informed, and his object in localizing them here was to shew they had had some effect upon the Dorset delegates to the Barren Creek Convention. He believed at the time and buyers and wood corders, is the prohibition he made the charge that Doct. Cox had made the statement in Combridge, and it was not until after Doct. Cox's denial appeared that Mr. Jackson learned he had made such statements in Easton. The information was a God-send that enabled him to establish the fact of Doct. Cox having made these statements in Easten, and thus to some extent extenuate the falsity of his charge as made. The whole matter therefore resolves itself into this: Mr. Jackson alleges that Doct. Cox made these statements in Cambridge, and puts the allegation in such connexion as to make it appear that it influenced the Dorset delegates to the Barren Creek Convention to vote against Mr. Loockerman, and that they gave these statements as their reason for voting against him. He proves that Doct. Cox did make such statements in Easton (whether before or after the Barren Creek Convention it is not stated) to two gentlemen, from whom the Dorset delegates could not have heard it, and upon whom of course it could have had no influence. If this proof establishes the charge as made and its legitimate intendment, it does so by a system of logic that has never yet been received

The imputation to us of "malignity" and "embittered feelings" is entirely gratuitous, and bespeaks the vanity of its putative author in supposing he is of sufficient consequence to excite such emotions. We do not consider him worthy of our hatred. Such venom may rankle in his own perturbed bosom, but there must be some nobleness in the object that excites our "malignity."-The public will readily discern the tergiversation and specious sophistry Mr. Jackson has resorted to for the purpose of extricating himself from the dilemma which his own recklessness involved him in, and in dismissing this subject we feel an abiding confidence that the "public judgment" will condemn his course in making grave charges upon mere rumor, and then dragging private persons before the public in order to substantiate them .-We have discharged our duty to Mr. Goldsborough and the Whig party-we have shewn that we acted by and within the authority given us by Doct. Cox in writing the card which appeared over his name, and we now leave the whole subject with the people. They will decide for themselves whether Mr. Jackson has substantiated the charges he has made by competent proof, or whether he has not utterly failed to establish hisallegations, and been obliged to resort to prevarication and sophistry in order to make out a plausible case of innocent misapprehension.

( Capt. Taylor is raising a company at Frankfort, and Capt. Beard, of Lexington, has reported his company to the Governor.

DIED,

In this town on Sunday night last, John Gale STEELE, in the 25th year of his age.

R. HAAS, who intends leaving for Easton in expressing their admiration of his daguerreo-Sep. 18, 1847.

SOMETHING INTERESTING TO TAX PAYERS.—Since giving my first Collectors

KENDAL M. JACOBS, Col. & Sheriff.