" hope we are now more at large than here-

ıt

is

_ '!

To hope without Foundation, I grant is a Weakness, but never thought it a Crime, though their Honours, from their next Question, seem to think it so.—" If you have no Foundation for " this Behaviour, what Regard will any Thing " you say deserve?" The Truth is, the Lower House were anxious that their Bill for His Majesty's Service might pass into a Law, and when Men are sanguine in the Pursuit of a particular Object, it is a very common Frailty to be buoyed up with fallacious and delusive Hopes. This is however catching at less than Straws, for the Expression is, not that they hope, but that they are willing to hope. But whatever Opinion the Lower House might entertain of the Disposition of the Upper House, with regard to the Passage of the Bill, I do not think the Merits of the Cause in the least influenced thereby, since their own Perfuafion of its Preference to every other Plan, will afford a sufficient Vindication of their Perseverance, till His Majesty, to whose Decision they have always been defirous of submitting, shall shew a different Sense of it. But to return to the Point.

It is as difficult for me to believe, that their Honours are, from the Nature of their Constitution, the Guardians and Protestors of the Peoples Rights, as that they acted with that independent Spirit they profess, when they tamely acquiesced under the Appointment of a menial Scrivener, with Qualities that have rendered him generally