

Was the Lower House sent them up a Bill for the Support of an Agent in *London*, which they were pleased to reject, and that sundry succeeding Meetings produced in the Lower House a *like* Bill, for the *like* Purpose, which the Upper House, *influenced by the like earnest Desire* to submit all Disputes to His Majesty, thought fit to treat in the *like* Manner, and while their Honours continue to entertain a *like* Confidence in the Goodness of their Cause, it is easy to foresee, that all future Meetings will be attended with a *like* Fate. I will just state the Case in two Words, and trouble the Reader no farther upon this Point. The Lower House have sent up a Bill, which they think a reasonable and equitable One. The Upper House have rejected it, because they think it unjust and oppressive. Say the Lower House, we will appeal to His Majesty, and let Him decide between us. No, say the Upper House, we will submit to no such Appeal.

In the same Paragraph is this remarkable Passage: “ Why then, when other Plans, more just  
 “ and equal, less intricate in their Nature, liable  
 “ to fewer Objections, and infinitely less dan-  
 “ gerous *to the undoubted Prerogatives of the*  
 “ *Crown, and Rights and Liberties of the People,*  
 “ than their Favourite Assessment Bill, were  
 “ framed, and proposed to those Houses, why  
 “ were they no sooner proposed than voted out ;  
 “ but that whatever were their Professions, *they*  
 “ *had no Intention of raising Supplies for His*  
 “ *Majesty's Service,* nor of affording this House  
 “ an Opportunity of coming to an Agreement  
 with