

For the NEGATIVE,

Messieurs

<i>Plater,</i>	<i>M. Tilghman,</i>	<i>E. Tilghman,</i>
<i>Sotboron,</i>	<i>Earle,</i>	<i>Bracco,</i>
<i>Hammond,</i>	<i>Dulany,</i>	<i>Sudier,</i>
<i>Gassaway,</i>	<i>Murdock,</i>	<i>Chapline,</i>
<i>Waggaman,</i>	<i>Frazer,</i>	<i>E. Dorsey,</i>
<i>Gale,</i>	<i>T. Gantt,</i>	<i>Beatty.</i>
<i>Wilson,</i>	<i>Lloyd,</i>	

November 16, 1757.

On Progression in Reading the second Time the Bill, entitled, *An Act for Granting a Supply of* for his Majesty's Service, &c. the Question was put, Whether the Oaths to be prescribed by the said Bill for Papists to take, shall be according to the Form prescribed by the Statute of 1 *Guilielmus et Mariae*, or Not? *Resolved* in the Negative.

For the NEGATIVE,

Messieurs

<i>Plater,</i>	<i>Lee,</i>	<i>Ward,</i>
<i>Williamson,</i>	<i>Stoddert,</i>	<i>Murdock,</i>
<i>Hynson,</i>	<i>Lowes,</i>	<i>Frazer,</i>
<i>Rain,</i>	<i>Gale,</i>	<i>Lloyd,</i>
<i>Tilden,</i>	<i>Golasborough,</i>	<i>E. Tilghman,</i>
<i>Hammond,</i>	<i>Edmondson,</i>	<i>Bracco,</i>
<i>Gassaway,</i>	<i>Gray,</i>	<i>Sudier,</i>
<i>Worthington,</i>	<i>Suitzane,</i>	<i>Scarborough,</i>
<i>B. Mackall,</i>	<i>Lecompte,</i>	<i>Handy,</i>
<i>J. Mackall,</i>	<i>Gowane,</i>	<i>Chapline,</i>
<i>Reynolds,</i>	<i>Deye,</i>	<i>Beatty,</i>
<i>E. Gantt,</i>	<i>Owings,</i>	<i>Cresap.</i>
<i>Hanson,</i>	<i>Hylard,</i>	

For the AFFIRMATIVE,

Messieurs

<i>Sotboron,</i>	<i>Earle,</i>	<i>King,</i>
<i>Wilson,</i>	<i>Dulany,</i>	<i>Henry,</i>
<i>M. Tilghman,</i>	<i>Woodward,</i>	<i>Harris,</i>
<i>Oldham,</i>	<i>T. Gantt,</i>	<i>E. Dorsey.</i>

Eodem Die.

On Reading the said Bill, the Question was put, Whether the Oaths prescribed by the said Bill to be taken by Papists, shall be such as are prescribed by the Act, entitled, *An Act for the better Security of the Peace and Safety of his Lordship's Government, and the Protestant Interest, within this Province*, or Not? *Resolved* in the Affirmative.

For