

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, NOVEMBER, 1779. 69

The house being equally divided, the question was determined in the negative by the honourable speaker.

The question was, That a salary of £500 per annum be allowed the chancellor? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Speaker, appeared as follow:

	A F F I R M A T I V E.				
Reed,	W. Stevenson,			Keene,	Alexander,
Jordan,	J. Hall,		Heary,	Dennis,	Barnes,
Mackall,	Maccubbin,	Crawford,	A. Gee,	J. Hanson,	Sprigg,
	Ward,	B. Hall,	Bruff,	Wootton,	Archer.
	Job,	Quynn,	Ringgold,	M'Mechin,	
		N E G A T I V E.			
Wort,	Magruder,	Burgess,	Deye,	Hammond,	M'Comas,
Fische,	Stricker,	Cramphin,	J. Stevenson,	Stull,	Norris.
Willi,	Beatty,	Bayly,			

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Resolved, That whatever salaries may be given to the officers of the civil list shall be subject to the control of the general assembly, and shall stand no longer than till the further order of the said general assembly. The house adjourns till 3 o'clock.

P O S T M E R I D I E M.

The house met.

The amendments proposed to the bill to empower the governor and council to sell the property therein mentioned, read and agreed to, and the bill ordered to be engrossed.

The amendments proposed to the bill relating to certain certificates, read and agreed to, and the bill ordered to be engrossed.

Mr. Chase in and delivers to Mr. Speaker a bill, entitled, An act for recruiting the quota of this state in the American army; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

Richard Barnes, from the senate, delivers to Mr. Speaker the resolve for the per diem allowance, endorsed, "By the senate, December 24, 1779: Read and concurred with. H. RIDGELY, cl. sen."

On motion, the question was put, That £12,500 per annum be allowed the chief judge of the general court, if he resides at the seat of government, and if not a salary of £5000? Carried in the negative.

The question was then put on £10,000 per annum, if he resides as above, if not £5000. Carried in the negative.

The question was then put, That the chief judge be allowed £2000 per annum? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Sprigg, appeared as follow:

	A F F I R M A T I V E.				
	Messieurs Burgess,		Hammond,		Sprigg.
	N E G A T I V E.				
Reed,	J. Hall,	Crawford,	Ringgold,	Cramphin,	Alexander,
Jordan,	Maccubbin,	B. Hall,	Keene,	Bayly,	Barnes,
Mackall,	Fitzhugh,	Magruder,	Dennis,	Wootton,	Stull,
Grelham,	Williamson,	Quynn,	Stricker,	Deye,	M'Comas,
Lehrbury,	Ward,	Chase,	J. Hanson,	J. Stevenson,	Archer,
W. Stevenson,	Job,	M'Gee,	Beatty,	M'Mechin,	Norris.
Worthington,	Birkhead,	Bruff,			

So it was carried in the negative.

Joseph Sim, Esq; from the senate, delivers to Mr. Speaker the bill, entitled, An act to appoint commissioners to state and settle the public accounts, endorsed; "By the senate, December 23, 1779: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table. H. RIDGELY, cl. sen."

"By the senate, December 24, 1779: Read the second time by especial order and will pass with the proposed amendment. H. RIDGELY, cl. sen."

The amendment proposed. In the seventh line of the second page, between the words "due" and "and," insert "first giving notice thereof to the party."

Which amendment was read and agreed to, and the bill ordered to be engrossed.

On motion, the question was put, That an allowance of £6000 per annum be made to the chief judge of the general court? Carried in the negative.