Charles Carroll of Carrollton, Esq; from the senate, delivers to Mr. Speaker the bill for the seizure and conflication of British property, with the following mellage:

BY THE LNATE, DECEMBER 23, 1779.

GENTLEMEN,
ALTHOUGH by our message accompanying the bill for the seizure and consideration of British property, returned with a negative, we plainly intimated we had not gone into a full consideration thereof, and assigned our reasons for not going into such a consideration at this session, yet, as in your message by Messieurs J. Hall and others, you urge the passage of the bill, and have adduced some arguments to use us to alter our resolutive, vermit us to discuss them with that coolness which the importance of the subject requires.

influence your conduct. It not unfrequently happens, that Juffice, policy, and necessity, you are entertained by different bodies of men. We are not different ideas of justice, policy, and . policy, and least of all of its necessity. We have not had convinced of the justice of the bill, fufficient time to make those strict and full researches into the law of nations, which, you say, you have made. As far as we have examined into the subject, we doubt, whether, by a fair construction of that law, the declaration of independence can have such a retrospective operation, as to well in this state all British property acquired by individuals antecedently to it. We presume the law of nations lays down no rule, by which, in revolutions like the prefent, the subjects adhering to the old, may be clearly distinguished from those of the new government, otherwise you would not have thought it necessary to ascertain, by a positive law, who shall be deemed British subjects. The distinctions set up by the bill are in some instances serbitrary, and without the fanction of law, ought not of themselves to deprive the persons described of the benefit and privileges of citizenship, and therefore we do not well conceive, how the property of the persons meant to be included in the bill decame vested in this state by the law of nations, co-operating with the declaration of independence. Some of the actions which are to constitute the actors British subjects, are of that nature as to make the bill, with respect to them, entirely retrospective, and of course contrary to our declaration of rights; others again are so highly eliminal as to subject the offenders to our treason law, a law fill subsisting, and evincing beyond the possibility of doubt, that the legislature which passed it, considered some of the very persons as subjects of this state, which the present bill considers as British subjects, thereby depriving them of the trial by jury; subjecting them to all the pains and penalties of treason, except death, inflicting outlawry and exile, without the judgment of their peers, and consequently having in many instances, the full force and effect of a bill of attainder. But admit, for the sake of argument. that by the rigour of the law of nations, the propert; all British subjects, as alien enemies, is fortested, the difficulty f drawing the line to ascertain who shan be defined British subjects, without wounding the constitution, still remains; under this impression, therefore we would rather err on the side of indulgence, though not merited, than violate our constitution, by throwing down those barriers with which it has wifely secured the liberty and property of the subject. We are averse from setting a precedent in order to reach persons really criminal, which may hereaster be extended to the oppression of the innocent intemperate zeal and intemperate refentments have frequently given fatal stabs to governments as free as ours. We need not remind you that the rigour of law is often injustice, and you are too well informed not to know that the rigour of the law of nations is much softened in this very point by the welcut ulage and practice of the most civilifed Buropean nations; for the truth of this affertion we speal to Vattell, a lace and celebrated writer on the law of nations. As an independent and civilifed people, would and rather wish to imitate the conduct of all the civilized and enlightened nations of Europe, than that of the piratical states of Barbary? The consequences of such a seizure and confication may be serious and perplexing; a negotiation may possibly take place this winter, and peace soon ensue; it way, and probably will, be made a preliminary article of the peace, that that very property which this bill is about to confiscate, shall be secured to the present owners in such a manner, that they may at least receive the full and value thereof. If therefore it should at this time be confiscated and applied, our conflituents mun be taxed, to repay the original proprietors the full value of the lands and chattels so confileated and applied; and this, in our judgment, forms one of the strongest objections to the policy of the measure. You say, you propose to raise five millions two hundred and twenty thousand dollars from he sale of British property, and to make the first payment on the first day of next February; if so, the with mun . put up to fale immediately, or on the shortest notice, at a time too when from the incle-

they of the weather few will be able to attend the fales; others may be discouraged by doubts respecting the title, and the possible of a refumption; the invidiousness of the purchase may deter some from purchasing. Under this toncurrence of circumstances, is it not rational to conclude, that there will be but few purchasers, and little competition, and of course that this property will be sold greatly under value? It requires no great degree of penetration to foresee, who will become purchasers; we may venture to predict, that they will confilt altogether of engrossers and speculators, men who have acquired great sums for little value, and therefore may afford to run the risk, whatever it may be, of realising their money on such easy terms. We submit to your serious reslection, and that of our constituents, whether the practices of those men have been such as to deserve so great an encouragement from the representatives of the people. You have informed us of the time for the first payment on the sales of British property; we wish you had likewife informed us when the last is proposed to be made; if it should be deferred to a long day, we apprehend the advantage to the public will fill be more inconfiderable; for the industry and the arts of the probable purchasers, too successfully practised on other occasions, may depreciate the money to such a degree that the flate may receive next to nothing in the end. But the public exigences are such, as to say you under the absolute necessity of selling British property, to raise the whole sum of fourteen millions two hundred required by conviels of this flate in nine months, and the people, you affert, and twenty thousand dr'

Cannot