

all profits of said estate after, as well as before said marriage.

And the question thereon, being put, was determined in the negative.

Mr Hicks moved that the said bill be laid on the table.

Determined in the negative.

The bill was then passed without amendment.

Mr Jenifer, from the select committee, to which had, this day, been referred the petition of Sarah Barnum, of the city of Baltimore, praying to be divorced from her husband David S Barnum, (by a special leave of the house obtained for the purpose,) reported a bill, entitled, an act for the relief of Sarah Barnum, of the city of Baltimore, which was read the first time, when,

Mr Jenifer moved that the said bill now have a second reading, by a special order, dispensing with the 40th rule of the house for that purpose.

Upon which motion, after considerable debate,

The question was put,

“Will the house agree to suspend the said 40th rule, for the purpose of now giving a second reading to the said bill?”

And it was determined in the negative; two thirds of the members present not agreeing thereto.

On motion by Mr Done,

The house then adjourned until to morrow morning nine o'clock.

SATURDAY, *February 27th*, 1830.

The House met at nine o'clock, pursuant to adjournment, and, on calling over the roll the same members appeared to be present as on yesterday.

On motion by Mr Steele,

So much of the first rule of the house as requires the Journal of Proceedings of yesterday to be read, was suspended, for the purpose of dispensing with that reading.

The Speaker presented to the House a written application, (enclosed to him for that purpose,) from Charles T. Flusser, James W. McCulloh and John V. L. McMahan, (as the Counsel of David Strong Barnum,) asking for and on his behalf; in reference to the bill now pending before the