

RESOLVED, That Edmund Key be and he is hereby recommended to the governor to be commissioned as register of wills for Saint-Mary's county.

A petition from Henry Lamot, of Baltimore county, praying that the treasurer may be directed to pay him £. 24 10 5¹/₂, with interest, being the sum paid by him for a land warrant to affect vacant land which could not be found, was preferred, read, and referred to Mr. Ogden, Mr. M-Pherson and Mr. Callis, to consider and report thereon.

Mr. Ellicott, from the committee, delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act to ascertain the mode of completing the title to purchasers of certain confiscated British property, and for other purposes; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Ellicott, from the committee, also delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act to empower William Finn, of Baltimore county, to keep a public pound in the county of Baltimore, and for other purposes therein mentioned; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

On motion, the question was put, That the further consideration of the said bill be referred to the next general assembly? Resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Scott, from the committee, delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act to appoint commissioners for the regulation and improvement of Chester-town, in Kent county, and for other purposes; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

The house adjourns until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock.

T H U R S D A Y, January 16, 1806.

THE house met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

Mr. Ogden, from the committee, delivers to the speaker the following report:

THE committee to whom was referred the petition of Henry Lamot, of Baltimore county, report, that they have had the same under consideration, and are of opinion the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.

L. GASSAWAY, clk.

By order,

Which was read the first and second time by especial order and concurred with.

The bill to restrain the evil practices of certain persons within this state, was read the second time and passed.

The resolutions in favour of Jesse Jarrett, of Harford county, were read the second time by especial order and the question put, Will the house assent to the first resolution? Determined in the negative.

On motion, the question was put, That the following be inserted in lieu thereof? viz.
RESOLVED, That the chancellor, on the application of the said Jesse Jarrett, be and he is hereby authorised to examine into the truth of the above allegations, and if, in his opinion, the said Jarrett is entitled to a return of the purchase money aforesaid, or interest, or to any part thereof, and shall so decree, then and in that case the state shall pay to him the said purchase money, or such part thereof, with interest, if any be allowed, as on a consideration of the opinion of the court of appeals, and all other circumstances, shall appear to the chancellor reasonable and just.

Resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put, Will the house assent to the second resolution? Resolved in the affirmative.

The house proceeded to the second reading of the bill to reduce into one the several acts of assembly respecting elections, and to regulate said elections, and on progression, the question was put, That the words "one of which shall be a resident of Baltimore county, and the other a resident of Baltimore city," be inserted at the end of the first enacting clause? Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion, the question was put, That the words "and until the ballots shall be counted, and the necessary certificates required by this act shall be made out and subscribed by the said judges and clerks," be inserted after the word election in the 6th enacting clause? Resolved in the affirmative.

On progression, the question was put, That the words "by ballot" be inserted after the word "choose" in the 8th clause? Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression, the question was put, That the words "except only in the second district in the aforesaid county of Allegany, where, instead of being held at John Simkins's tavern, as formerly, it shall in future be held at some house in Selby's Port, in said second district," be inserted after the words "fifty-nine" in the 9th clause? Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression, the question was put, That the words "also in the fourth district in Montgomery, where they shall in future be held as directed by an act passed at the present session," be inserted in the same clause after the word "except?" Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression, the question was put, That the word "sworn" be stricken out of the 11th clause? Resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put, That the word "qualified" be inserted in lieu of the word stricken out? Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression, the question was put, That the words "in cases where an election is held for persons to fill different offices or stations; but in cases where an election is held for a person or persons