

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, NOVEMBER SESSION, 1804. 97

Also the bill, entitled, A further additional supplement to the act, entitled, An act to provide for the administration of justice in cases of crimes and misdemeanors in the city and county of Baltimore, endorsed; "By the senate, December 31, 1804: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 7, 1805: Read the second time and will pass with the proposed amendment.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Which amendment was read.

Also the bill, entitled, A further supplement to an act, entitled, An act to regulate the inspection of tobacco, endorsed; "By the senate, January 4, 1805: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 7, 1805: Read the second time and will pass with the proposed amendment.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Which amendment was read.

Also the bill, entitled, A further supplement to an act for amending, and reducing into system, the laws and regulations concerning last wills and testaments, the duties of executors, administrators and guardians, and the rights of orphans and other representatives of deceased persons, endorsed; "By the senate, January 4, 1805: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 7, 1805: Read the second time and will not pass.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Also the bill, entitled, An act to provide for the election of the governor by the people, and to abolish all those parts of the constitution and form of government which relate to the council to the governor, and the time and manner of electing the governor, and for other purposes, endorsed; "By the senate, January 3, 1805: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 5, 1805: Read the second time and will not pass.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Also the bill, entitled, An act for the benefit of George Ford, of St. Mary's county, a minor, endorsed; "By the senate, January 4, 1805: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 7, 1805: Read the second time and will not pass.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Also the bill, entitled, A further supplement to an act, entitled, An act relating to negroes, and to repeal the acts of assembly therein mentioned, endorsed; "By the senate, December 21, 1804: Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk.
 "By the senate, January 7, 1805: Read the second time and will not pass.
 "By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

Also the paper bills Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30, severally endorsed; "By the senate, January 5, 1805: The engrossed bill whereof this is the original read and assented to.

"By order, T. W. HALL, clk."

The house, according to the order of the day, proceeded to the second reading of the bill for the encouragement of learning in this state, and for other purposes therein mentioned, and on progression in reading said bill, the question was put, Shall St. Mary's county be inserted in the first clause of said bill? The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

R. Neale,	Hatcheson,	Stuart,	T. Bayly,	Alexander,	Muir,	Holbrook,	Setby,
W. Neale,	Mercer,	Chapman,	Jackson,	Veazey,	Shaaff,	Fotter,	Darne,
Hebb,	Hall,	M'Pherson,	Cottman,	Miller,	Thompson,	Stephen,	Bruce,
Scott,	Dorsey,	Meluy,	Hyland,	Lyles,	Handy,	Ellicott,	Bayard,
Thomas,	Harwood,	Spencer,	Ennalls,	Centee,	Clarke,	Ringgold,	J. Tomlinson 45
Moore,	Parnham,	Goldsborough,					

N E G A T I V E.

Stansbury,	Brown,	Sheredine,	Cockey,	Bond,	Montgomery,	Bowles,	B. Tomlinson 14
Lemmon,	Harryman,	Lowrey,	Hawkins,	Ayres,	Forwood,		

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put, Shall the blank in the said clause after the words "St. Mary's county" be filled with the sum of six hundred dollars? Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression in reading said bill, the question was put, Shall Kent county be inserted in the said clause? The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow: