## VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, NOVEMBER SESSION, 1802

I R M A TIVE and I win R. Neale. Merriken, Lloyd, Veazey, Thompson, Montgomery, Parviance, Hall, S. Frazier, Wood, Angier, E. Davis, Nelson, Dickson Harcheson, Grahame, 2. Keene, Van-Horn. Hawkins, Lytic, Rershoer, Moore, Frisby, Sheredine. Carr, Young, Shriver, Smith. e é A. Stuart, Dorsey, Stansbury, Miller, Ridgely, Kemp, Hardcastle, Tilghma 3\$, Lemmon, Alexander, E G Α V T E. W Neale, Bourne, M'Pherson, Hyland. Quynn, Wilson, Veatch, Dashiell, Jones, Goldsborough, Swearinger Quinton, Tominson, Somervell, Chapman, Cottman, Steele, Farnell. T. Davis, Cresap. So it was resolved in the affirmative.

On motion, the question was put, That the house assent to the second resolution? The year and nays being required, appeared as follow:

I R M A TIV Merriken, R. Neale, Llayd, Veazey, Thompson, Montgomery, Purviance. Angier, Hatcheson, S. Frazier, Hall, Wood, Nelson, E. Davis, Dickson, Grahame, Sheredine, Van-Horn, Hawkins. Lytie. Kershner, Moore, Frisby, Miller, Carr, Shr.ver, Young, Smith, Stansbury, A. Stuart, Alexander, Ridgely, Kemp, Hardcastle, Tilghman. Dorsey, G A E. W Neale, P. Stuart, M'Pherson, Hyland, Quynn, Wilson, Vestch. Blake, Jones, Dashiell, Goldsborough, Swearingen, Quinton, Tomlinson, Somervell. Chapman, Cottman, Steele. Furnell. T. Davis, Cresup. Bourne,

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Sent to the senate by the clerk,

The report on the petition of the securities of Dennis Griffith was read the second time, the resolution

therein contained assented to, and sent to the senate by the clerk.

Mr. Dashiell, from the committee, delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act annulling the marriage of Robert Ingram, of the city of Annapolis, and Henrietta his wife; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Hall, from the committee, delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act to empower the levy court of Anne-Arundel county to assess and levy a sum of money for the purpose therein mentioned; which was read the first and second time by especial order, passed, and sent to the senate by the clerk.

The bill respecting the religious sect of people called Jews, was read the second time, and the question put,

That the said bill do pass? The year and nays being required, appeared as follow:

Moore, Signsbury, Sheredine,	Miller, Alexander, Veuzey,	A F F Wood, Van-Horn, Carr,	I R M A Ridgely, Nelson,	T I V E. Hawkins, Montgomery,	E Davis, Purviance,	Dickson, Tilghman.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
R. Neale, W. Neale, Angier, Hatcheson, Frisby, Dorsey,	Merriken, Hall, Grahame, Somervell, Bourne, Jones,	Chapman, M. Cherson, Lemmon, Cottman, Hyland, Goldsborough,	E G A T I S. Frazier, Keene, Steele, Quynn, Thompson,	V E. Quinton, Purnell, Wilson, Shriver, Lytle,	Young, Hardcastle, Kershner, Smith, T. Davis,	Veatch, Bayard, Simkins, Tomlinson, Cresap.	38.

Mr. T. Davis, from the committee, delivers to the speaker the following report:

THE committee to whom was referred the petition of Adam Freeman, and others, styling themselves The Philopanentolic, Christocratical or Diathekain Society, stating that they are conscientiously scrupulous of giving testimony either on oath or affirmation, and praying that their declaration or simple testimony may be received instead of an oath or affirmation, in all cases where oaths or affirmations are now required by the constitution and laws of this state, report, that on examination of the petition it appears, that the said society does not consist of more than eight or ten persons, and that from all the information your committee have been enabled to obtain respecting the origin of the said society, it is of very recent establishment, and the religious tenets of the members are very little, if at all, known, except from the declaration thereof contained in their petition; this being the case, your committee cannot consider the alterations of the constitution and laws, hitherto made in favour of the quakers, and other long established and numerous religious societies, whose conscientious scruples as to oaths are universally known, as precedents for similar changes of the constitution upon the suggestion of any new and very small society that they entertain similar principles; as well might the constitution be changed in favour of any individual who should allege that he is under the influence of the same conscientious difficulties; your committee are therefore of opinion, that the petitioners should have leave to with

Which was read the first and second time and concurred with.

L. GASSAWAY, clk.