purchased the moiety of a tract of land called Partnership of the late Walter Dulany, and Mary his wife, which moiety is described in the conveyance thereof by metes, bounds, courses and distances; that the said seact of land belonged to the said Mary Dulany, before her marriage; that in the said conveyance is contained a warranty from the faid Dulany and wife, and their heirs respectively, which, in the opinion of the committee, descended upon the heir of the faid Walter, whose estate hath been confiscated; that of the said tract of land called Partnership, one hundred and eleven acres and three-eighths of an acre of land was included within an elder furvey, called Lillington's Castle, and hath been recovered from the faid Cox by the judgment of the general court at October term last; that the land lost was principally of the wood land reserved by the petitioner for the support of his farm, and independent of the costs of suit and the value of the land lost, he sustains a very considerable injury in the difficulty with which wood can hereafter be procured; that the original purchase money paid by the father of the petitioner, was two hundred pounds sterling, and twenty pounds currency. That it appears to your committee, that the land recovered from the petitioner is worth at least the sum of six pounds current money per acre, and would produce that sum at a cash sale, and would sell for a considerable sum more on a reasonable credit. Your committee are of opinion, that the state ought to compensate the petitioner for the land lost, out of any of the confiscated property of the heir of the faid Walter Dulany, now remaining in the treasury, and that the value of the land at the time of the recovery thereof, ought to be the rule for ascertaining the extent of that compensation. All which is submitted to the house.

By order,

A. GOLDER, clk.

Which was read.

The report on the petition of Benjamin Mackall, was read the second time, and the resolution therein contained assented to, and sent to the senate by the clerk.

On motion, Ordered, That Mr. Martin and Mr. Gale, be added to the committee appointed

to bring in a bill to prevent flaves acting as free in the several counties therein mentioned.

Mr. Digges, from the committee, brings in and delivers to Mr. Speaker the following report: THE committee to whom was referred the petition of Henry H. Chapman, executor of the last will and testament of Samuel Hanson, late of Charles county, deceased, report, that they have examined the facts therein stated, and find them true. This committee are surther informed, and believe, that the land which was left out, by the description contained in the patent, hath since been fold by the state of Maryland to a purchaser for a valuable consideration, without notice of the variance between the expressions in the patent and in the certificate of survey, and therefore they are of opinion that the prayer of the petition ought not to be granted. All which is submitted to the house. By order, J. W. KING, clk.

Which was read the first and second time, and concurred with.

Mr. Goldsborough, from the committee, brings in and delivers to Mr. Speaker a bill, entitled, A supplement to an act, entitled, An act for the relief of the poor of Talbot county; which was read, and referred to the next fession of assembly.

A petition from fundry inhabitants of Prince-George's county, praying they may be authorised to raise a sum of money by lottery for the purpose of clearing the creek leading to the town of Upper-Marlborough, was preferred and read, and on motion, the question was put, That a committee be appointed to report on faid petition? Determined in the negative.

The question was then put, That the consideration of the said petition be referred to the next ses-

fion of affembly? Refolved in the affirmative.

On motion, the question was put, That the consideration of the bill to alter and repeal such parts of the constitution and form of government of this state as relate to the council to the governor, be referred to the next session of assembly? The year and nays being required by three members, appeared as follow:

| 9 | Ridout, | Ridgely, of Wm. | | Schnebely, | Oneale, | Cresap, | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----|--|
| | M'Pherion, | Megryman, | Jamison, | Keriner, | Davis, | Lynn, | | |
| 볒 | Ridgely, | R. Bond, | Beatty, | Hughes, | Luckett, | Beall. | 21. | |
| Ž | T. Worthington, | Sprigg, | Shriver, | | - | • | | |
| - | - | | N E G A | T I V E. | , 1 | | | |
| | Ford, | Brome, | Gale, | Hollingsworth, | Whittington, | Montgomery, | | |
| Leffie | Hopewell, | Thomas, | Nutter, | Carnan, | Robins, | Driver, | | |
| | Hebb, | Digges, | Waggaman, | Key, | Wilson, | Douglass, | , | |
| | Spencer, | | Frazier, | Kent, | Baer, | J. Clarke, | | |
| | Barroll. | Harwood, | Martin, | Earle, | Jarrett, | Winchester, | • | |
| | Mackall, | Goldsborough, | Gordon, | Brown, | M'Comas, | J. Johnson. | 40. | |
| | Freeland, | Carroll, | Craig, | Dennis, | - | | • | |
| So it was determined in the peoplities. | | | | | | | | |

The bill was read the second time, and the question put, That the said bill do pass? The year and nays being required by three members, appeared as follow:

| Ford, n. Hopewell, El Hebb, El Spencer, El Brome, | Thomas, Digges, T. Worthington, Merryman, Kerr, | F F I R Gale, Nutter, Waggaman, Frazier, Martin, | M A T I V Gordon, Craig, Hollingfworth, Carnan, Kent, | E. Earle, Brown, Dennis, Robins, Wilson, | Montgomery, Driver, Douglass, Carroll, Luckett. 32. |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| ≥ Brome, M·Pherion, | Goldiborough, | Marin, | Rent, | witton, | Luckett. 32. |

NEGA-