

"By the senate, December 10, 1792: Read the second time by especial order and passed.
"By order, H. RIDGELY, clk."

Ordered to be engrossed.

On motion, the question was put, That leave be given to bring in a bill, entitled, An act relating to evidence in certain cases? Determined in the negative.

The house resumed the further consideration of the bill respecting the militia, and on progression in reading the said bill, the question was put, That the words "May and June," after the word "April," be struck out of the said bill? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Ott, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

Messieurs	Thomas,	Grahame,	Hollingsworth,	Barnes,	Prall,	Jacques,
	Ford,	M'Pherfon,	Oldham,	Butcher,	Amos,	Oneale,
	Plowden,	Hawkins,	Ewing,	Dennis,	Loockerman,	Beall,
	Mackall,	Cockey,	Clark,	Dorsey,	O'Donnell,	Bayard,
	Freeland,	Ridgely, of Wm.	Contee,	Sappington,	M'Mechen,	Johnfon,
	Brooke,	Gough,	O'Bryon,	Jarrett,	Cromwell,	Tomlinfon. 36.

N E G A T I V E.

Messieurs	Wilmer,	Sherwood,	Denwood,	Quynn,	Beatty,	Ott,
	Harwood,	Cox,	Eccleston,	Duvall,	Hughlett,	Swearingen,
	J. Worthington,	Kerr,	Eccleston, jun.	Hutchings,	Driver,	Wootton,
	Brogden,	Jones,	Forman,	Townsend,	Douglafs,	Nelson. 28.
	Campbell,	Dashiell,	Sprigg,	Purnell,		

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Plater appeared in the house.

On further consideration of the said bill, the question was put, That the militia be exercised three days in a year, and no more? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Ridgely, of Wm. appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

Messieurs	Thomas,	Brooke,	Gough,	Barnes,	Prall,	Cromwell,
	Ford,	Grahame,	Hollingsworth,	Butcher,	Amos,	Jacques,
	Plowden,	M'Pherfon,	Oldham,	Hutchings,	Loockerman,	Oneale,
	Plater,	Cockey,	Ewing,	Dorsey,	O'Donnell,	Bayard,
	Mackall,	Ridgely,	Contee,	Sappington,	M'Mechen,	Johnfon. 34.
	Freeland,	Ridgely, of Wm.	O'Bryon,	Jarrett,		

N E G A T I V E.

Messieurs	Wilmer,	Hawkins,	Dashiell,	Sprigg,	Corbin,	Ott,
	Tilghman,	Campbell,	Denwood,	Quynn,	Beatty,	Swearingen,
	Harwood,	Sherwood,	Eccleston,	Duvall,	Nelson,	Beall,
	N. Worthington,	Cox,	Frazier,	Townsend,	Hughlett,	Tomlinfon,
	J. Worthington,	Kerr,	Eccleston, jun.	Dennis,	Driver,	Wootton. 35.
	Brogden,	Jones,	Clark,	Purnell,	Douglafs,	

So it was determined in the negative.

On further progression in reading the said bill, the question was put, That any non-commissioned officer or private, or matros in the artillery, and any non-commissioned officer or dragoon, who shall neglect to attend as aforesaid, shall forfeit two thirds of a dollar per day? Resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression in reading the said bill, the question was put, That the following clause be received as an amendment to the said bill? "And the said lieutenant-colonels shall and may, in their discretion, lay out the money received for the fines to be imposed as aforesaid, in such manner as shall promote the training and disciplining the militia, and shall, on the 10th day of November, annually, lay his account of the expenditures of such fines before the general assembly." The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Oneale, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

Messieurs	Plowden,	Mackall,	Cox,	Goldborough,	Townsend,	Douglafs,
	Plater,	Brooke,	Kerr,	Sprigg,	Purnell,	Ott,
	Wilmer,	Grahame,	Jones,	Quynn,	Corbin,	Swearingen,
	Harwood,	Hawkins,	Dashiell,	Duvall,	Beatty,	Beall,
	J. Worthington,	Campbell,	Denwood,	Barnes,	Hughlett,	Bayard,
	Brogden,	Sherwood,	Eccleston,	Butcher,	Driver,	Tomlinfon. 36.

N E G A T I V E.

Messieurs	Thomas,	Cockey,	Oldham,	Hutchings,	Prall,	Jacques,
	Ford,	Ridgely,	Forman,	Dennis,	Amos,	Crabb,
	Tilghman,	Ridgely, of Wm.	Ewing,	Dorsey,	Loockerman,	Oneale,
	N. Worthington,	Gough,	Clark,	Sappington,	O'Donnell,	Wootton,
	Freeland,	Frazier,	Contee,	Jarrett,	Cromwell,	Johnfon. 33.
	M'Pherfon,	Hollingsworth,	O'Bryon,			

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

On further progression in reading the said bill, the question was put, That the following clause be struck out of the said bill? "And be it enacted, That the master or mistress of any apprentice, and the father, or mother or guardian, of any minor, who shall refuse or neglect to attend as aforesaid, being in the service of his father, or mother or guardian, master or mistress, shall be accountable for the fine or fines so incurred by such minor or apprentice." The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Jacques, appeared as follow: