26 VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, OCTOBER 1771.

Mr. IV. Richardson, Mr. S. Wright, Mr. Sim, and Mr. Worthington, appeared in the House. The Order of the Day being read, the House returned the Confideration of the Matter relative to Mr. John Frederick Augustus Priggs, and after some Debate thereon, referred the surther Consideration thereof till To-morrow Morning.

His Excellency communicates to Mr. Speaker the following Message.

GENTLEMEN,

REVISION of the Criminal Law had been recommended in May Session 1768 to the Astembly, then convened, by my worthy Predecessor, and at the Opening of a late Session,

Talso requested your Consideration of the same Subject.

From the Answers given to Col. Sharpe, and to myself, on those Occasions, as well as from other peculiar Circumstances, I inferred that a general Recommendation would be sufficient; but an Explanation of the Grounds, on which I have pressed you to consider the State of the Penal Law, being now defired, I must observe, that there is not, I apprehend, any precise invariable Rule established, by which the Extent of the Penal Statutes of England may be ascertained; and, therefore, in what Cules Punishment may be regularly inflicted in this Province. according to their Prescripts, is a Question, on which various Sentiments may be expected, and in Fact, have often occurred. Should the Polition be admitted, that such of the Penal Statutes extend hither, as are fuitable to the Circumstances of the Country, still what are, or are not thus suitable, may be, in many Instances, on a Consideration of Statutes denouncing even capital Punishment, in, at least, One Hundred and Sixty Cases, a very doubtful Question; and which being determinable by the Courts, seems moreover to admit too great Authority in the Judges, and to give too much Scope for Contrariety in the Decisions, which a rigorous or compaffionate Disposition may influence; for Men's Qualities, when not controuled by fixed and established Provisions, will generally slide into their most deliberate and best formed Opinions. Doubts, at least, have been entertained in England, whether the positive Ordinances of the Penal System be suitable to the Circumstances of the Nation; whether, in many Instances, not too undistinguishing and sanguinary; but the Judges have no Authority to reject the Rule injoined by the Legislature: Such Authority would elevate the judicial Power above its proper Rank; an Authority the Legislative will hardly ever be so incautious as to confer by Provisions, that such Penal Statutes, and fuch only shall be carried into Execution, as the Discretion of the Judges may adopt; but this feems to be the Refult of the Position or Doctrine, that such Penal Statutes, and fuch only as fuit our Circumstances extend hither. The following, among other Instances, may sufficiently evince, that the Rule of Adoption has not been uniform, in Respect of the Penal Statutes enacted before the Settlement of this Province.

Notwithstanding the Statutes of Edward VIth, the Act of 1744 was thought to be expedient, one of these Statutes comprehends other Offences, concerning which our local Acts are silent, though more alarming and attrocious than the stealing or burning a Shallop or Boat of Seven-

teen Feet Keel.

Notwithstanding the Statutes of Elizabeth, the Acts of 1692 and 1715 were enacted, the Statute of James the I. has been introduced by the Act of 1706, it might be very improper to be more explicit, if it be a just Observation "that Crimes are more effectually prevented by the Certainty than by the Severity of Punishment," the Uncertainty in Respect of the Extent and

Force of the Penal Statutes must be extremely inconvenient.

The Feelings of Humanity cannot but be affected, though the positive Law be clear, where the Examble of extreme Punishment becomes necessary; but when Crimes, however malignant, have been committed against social Rights, and the very Existence of positive Law for their Punishment is doubtful, my Situation must be too obvious to require Explication. Persons convicted on some English Statutes having been discharged with impunity, because the Extent of those Laws was doubted; I am persuaded that the Principle of the apparent Lenity not being as generally understood, as the Impunity has been observed, this Circumstance has produced a Degree of Flattering Reliance, that equal Tenderness would be shewn to Offenders convicted on Laws undubitably existent and operative; and thus the Uncertainty I have taken Notice of, by lessening the Dread of Punishment, has proved an infnaring Encouragement to the Commission of Crimes. Having thus briefly suggested on what Motives I recommended to your Attention the State of our Criminal Law, you will be pleased maturely to consider, whether it would not be more safe, prudent and expedient, after a due Examination of their Propriety and Fitness, to ascertain, by Act of Astembly, what Penal Statutes shall have the full Force of Laws here, than to leave this important Determination to the varying Construction, Discretion, or Opinions of others.

October 25, 1771. Which was read. ROB. EDEN.

William Hayward, Esq; from the Upper House, delivers to Mr. Speaker, a Bill, entitled, An 661 for preventing trivial Suits in the Provincial Court; endorsed: "By the Upper House of Assembly, "Ostober 25th, 1771: Read the First and Second Time, by an especial Order, and will pass.

Signed by Order, U. SCOTT, Cl. Up. Ho."

Which was read here the First Time and ordered to lie on the Table.

Daniel of St. Thomas Jenifer, Esq; from the Upper House, delivers to Mr. Speaker, the Bill, entitled, An Ast for imposing a further additional Duty of Five Pounds current Money per Poll on all Negroes imported into this Province; thus endorsed: "By the Upper House of Assembly, Oslober 24th, 1771: Read the First Time and ordered to lie on the Table.

Signed by Order,

U. SCOTT, Cl. Up. Ho.