But your Committee find the Trustees have given Credit to the Province in 1747 for	E 40	б 4	
Samuel Chemberlaine 6 Bills 623-19 Itsiam Manual Company Accounts, and there-			ı
Samuel Chemberlaime's Bills on Wilsiam Anderjon for Which said Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and there- Which said Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and there- fore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him fore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him			ĺ
fore think it probable the above bins well.			
remitted for Reimburiement of the talk and the land and t	40	7 6	.
Officer of Page all all light to the second of the second	30	7 0	' 1
	_		I
But they Credit the Province next Year his Bills on William Anderson, not charged in his Naval Officer's Account, for	27	0 0	,
And the Naval Officer of Patuzent District in his Account, 1755, charges the Province			
-		6	.
John Knight's Exchange on Johnson and Company,	53	12	
And Edward Barnes on Spencer for			-
	£. 87	18	}
Credits the Province by 15 d. per Hogshead on 995 Hogsheads Tobacco,	62	3	€ 1
And charges the Ballance to next Account,	- 25	14 1	
And charges the panence to account	£. 87	18	a
Takin of his new Vess's Ac.	j F		•
Which Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. he transfers to the Debit of his next Year's Account against the Province; but it does not appear that the above Two Bills, amount count against the Province; but it does not appear that the above Two Bills, amount of the			
count against the Province; but it were not appear	į		
ing to 871. 181. 81. were en Credited by the Trunces; yet by their Account next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on faid Naval Officer's Account next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on faid Naval Officer's Account next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on faid Naval Officer's Account			
next Year they Credited the Province by John Handery on taid Italia and the faid 62 1. 3: 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the faid Bills were not paid; and the faid 62 1. 3: 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the faid Bills were not paid; and the faid	P 25	141	`
62 1. 3 1. 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the tall bits were and part the Tobacco, Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Raid Ballance of 25 l. 141. 11 d. car	1		
Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Manoury to pay the tvett ball decommend of 25 l. 141. 11 d. car by the preceding Account exported; by which the faid Ballance of 25 l. 141. 11 d. car by the preceding Account exported; by which the faid Ballance of 25 l. 141. 11 d. car			
ried to next Account against the Province, mount and over a	ا		
ing so, is still due to the Province, And for Ballance of his Account, 1756, he charges his Order on William Perkins in Fa	3 310	18	4
vour of Messieurs Hant and Hanbury remitted,			
And for Ballance of his Account, 1757, his Exchange on with the	2 86	1	3
ted to the Trustees by the first Ship,			-
	L. 631	14	6

But your Committee don't find that the Trustees have Credited the Province with the said Sum of 3191. 18: 4d and 286 l. 1s. 3d. by which your Committee is induced to believe the faid Order and bid were not paid, and that therefore the Ballance due from the faid Officer to the Year 1757, is 6311. 141. 61. as appears by the above Account; and your Committee take Leave farther to Report to the Honourable Hoose, that they cannot find that the said Naval Officer has rendered any Accounts for the 15 d. per Hos. shead, for the Years 1758, 1759, 1760, or that the Trustees have Credited the Province with any Money or Bills remitted by the said Officer for the said Three last Years; which your Committee think a great Breach of Duty in that Officer, and a great Injury to the Province.

Your Committee cannot find that the Naval Officer of Pocomoke District has rendered any Account for the 15 d, per Hogshead for the Year 1755; but that the Naval Officer of the said District has rendered Actol erauos

the Years followed For the Year	 1756, amounting to	-	 £. 22 8 3
For the Year	 1757, — to		 3°, 7 17 15°
For the Year	 1758, —— to		 50 17 3
For the Year	 1759, ——— to		 8 6
For the Year	 1760, —— to		

Amounting in the Whole to One Hundred and Thirty Pounds, Six Shillings, and Three Pence, as and pears by the above Accounts; but we don't find any Payments made by the faid Naval Officer in Discharge of the above Sum, so that the same is still due from him; and your Committee think it their Duty to the serve, that the Naval Officer aforesa d did not render the above Accounts till the 21st Instant; which humbly conceive is a Neglect of Duty, the faid Naval Officers being by Law directed to render their is counts yearly.