Votes and Proceedings, April, 1761.

But your Committee find the Trustees have given Credit to the Province in 1747 for	140	6	4	
Which faid Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and there- Which faid Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and there- fore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him fore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him remitted for Reimbursement of the said Bills. Also by the Deputy Naval 1750. Samuel Chamberlaine's Bills, but don't say on whom Officer of Pacamoke. Transmitted to the Trustees, for which there is no Cred t		7	6	
But they Credit the Province next Year his bills on Anderson, not charged in his Naval Officer's Account, for	27	0	0	
And the Naval Officer of Patuxent District in his Account, 1755, charges the Province as follows:				
John Knight's Exchange on Johnson and Company, And Eduard Barnes on Spencer for	3.		5	
	£. 8			ı
Credits the Province by 15 d. per Hogshead on 995 Hogsheads Tobacco, And charges the Ballance to next Account,		ς 1		
	L.	87	8 8	
Which Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. he transfers to the Debit of his next Year's Account against the Province; but it does not appear that the above Two Bills, amount ing to 87 l. 18 s. 8 d. were expressed by the Trustees; yet by their Account of the next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on said Naval Officer's Account 62 l. 3 s. 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the said Bills were not paid; and the said Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, by the preceding Account exported; by which the said Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. carried to next Account against the Province, should have been again Credited, and not be-	} :	5 1	4 11	
ing so, is still due to the Province, And for Ballance of his Account, 1756, he charges his Order on William Perkins in Fa-	} 3	19 1	8 4	
vour of Messieurs Hunt and Hanbury remitted, And for Ballance of his Account, 1757, his Exchange on William Perkins, to be remitted to the Trustees by the first Ship,		86	1 3	
100.00	£. 6	31	14 6	

But your Committee don't find that the Trustees have Credited the Province with the said Sum of 3191. 181 4d and 286 l. 1 s. 3 d. by which your Committee is induced to believe the faid Order and but were not paid, and that therefore the Ballance due from the faid Officer to the Year 1757, is 6311. 141. 64. as appears by the above Account; and your Committee take Leave farther to Report to the Honourable Honse, that they cannot find that the said Naval Officer has rendered any Accounts for the 15 d. per Hog. shead, for the Years 1758, 1759, 1760, or that the Trustees have Credited the Province with any Money or Bills remitted by the said Officer for the said Three last Years; which your Committee think a great Breach of Duty in that Officer, and a great Injury to the Province.

Your Committee cannot find that the Naval Officer of Pocomoke District has rendered any Account for the ghead for the Year 1755; but that the Naval Officer of the faid District has rendered Ac-35 d, per

counts for

Hoginead for t	ne icai i/s	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
or the Years follo	owing, to wi	<i>t</i> ,		 f. 22 89
For the Year		1756, amounting to		21 5
For the Year		1757, —— to	-	 17 150
For the Year		1758, ——— to		 50 17
For the Year		1759, ——— to		 8
For the Year		1760, —— to		 0 0
TUI LUC I CAL		-,,		-

£. 130 6

Amounting in the Whole to One Hundred and Thirty Pounds, Six Shillings, and Three Pence, as a pears by the above Accounts; but we don't find any Payments made by the faid Naval Officer in Dischar of the above Sum. so that the same is still due from him; and your Committee think it their Duty too ferve, that the Naval Officer aforefaid did not render the above Accounts till the 21st Instant; which humbly conceive is a Neglect of Duty, the faid Naval Officers being by Law directed to render their counts yearly.