But your Committee find the Trustees have given Credit to the Province in 1747 for J. Samuel Chamberlaine's Bills on William Anderson for	to	6	4
Which said Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and therefore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him remitted for Reimbursement of the said Bills.		· .	
Also by the Deputy Naval 1750. Samuel Chamberlaine's Bills, but don't lay on whom,	30	7	6
De la Cardia sha Drawinga nave Vaar hie Rills on William 1	27	0	0
And the Naval Officer of Patuzent District in his Account, 1755, charges the Province as follows:			
1000 Kurbit 2 F Yougue ou hands and combany	53 34	6 12	3 5
(PROTE TOP PENTINGE DV 1, 4. DET FLUZINGAG ON GGY FLUZINGAG I DONCEN,	6 z	18 3 14	9
Which Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. he transfers to the Debit of his next Year's Account against the Province; but it does not appear that the above Two Bills, amounting to 87 l. 18 s. 8 d. were ever Credited by the Trustees; yet by their Account of the next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on said Naval Officer's Account 62 l. 3 s. 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the said Bills were not paid; and the said Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, by the preceding Account exported; by which the said Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. car ried to next Account against the Province, should have been again Credited, and not being so, is still due to the Province, And for Ballance of his Account, 1756, he charges his Order on William Perkins in Favour of Messeurs Hunt and Hanbury remitted, And for Ballance of his Account, 1757, his Exchange on William Perkins, to be remit-			
ted to the Trustees by the first Ship,			6

But your Committee don't find that the Trustees have Credited the Province with the said Sum of 3.9 1. 185 4d and 2861. 15. 3d. by which your Committee is induced to believe the said Order and Bills were not paid, and that therefore the Ballance due from the said Officer to the Year 1757, is 6311. 141. 6d. as appears by the above Account; and your Committee take Leave samther to Report to the Honourable Home, that they cannot find that the said Naval Officer has rendered any Accounts for the 15d. per Hog-shead, for the Years 1758, 1759, 1760, or that the Trustees have Credited the Province with any Money or Bil's remitted by the said Officer for the said Three last Years; which your Committee think a great Breach of Duty in that Officer, and a great Injury to the Province.

Your Committee cannot find that the Naval Officer of Pocomoke District has rendered any Account for the 15th, for Hogshead for the Year 1755; but that the Naval Officer of the said District has rendered Accounts for the Years following, to wit,

For the Year		1756, amounting to		-	£. 22 8 9
For the Year		1757, ——— to	***************		31 5 0
For the Year		1758, ——— w			17, 15 0
For the Year		1759, —— to,	-	4.	50 17 6 8 0 0
For the Year		1760, ——— to		_	
	•	•			£. 130 6 3

Amounting in the Whole to One Hundred and Thirty Pounds, Six Shillings, and Three Pence, as appears by the above Accounts; but we don't find any Payments made by the faid Naval Officer in Discharge of the above Sum, so that the same is still due from him; and your Committee think it their Duty to observe, that the Naval Officer aforesaid did not render the above Accounts till the 21st Instant; which we humb y conceive is a Neglect of Duty, the said Naval Officers being by Law directed to render their Accounts yearly.