

Saturday Morning, August 5, 1732.

THE House met according to Adjournment. The Members were call'd, and all present as Yesterday. The Proceedings of Yesterday were read.

Col. Belt, from the Committee of Aggrievances, delivers the following Report :

By the Committee of Aggrievances, August 4, 1732.

Your Committee being inform'd that several Officers charge their Fees according to a Law heretofore made, in the Year Seventeen Hundred and Nineteen, which has been long since expired ; notwithstanding there was a Law afterwards made in Seventeen Hundred and Twenty Five, which made a considerable Alteration of the Fees allowed by that Law in Seventeen Hundred and Nineteen; and after that Law in Seventeen Hundred and Twenty Five was dissent'd to by the Proprietary, there was a Bill agreed to by the Upper and Lower Houses of the General Assembly, much to the same Effect with the Law of Seventeen Hundred and Twenty Five : And also the Lower House have thought fit to make a *Resolve*, That the Law Seventeen Hundred and Twenty Five, gave a sufficient Satisfaction to the several Officers for the several Services in the Law mentioned. And your Committee are further inform'd, that several Clerks, or Registers, of several Courts within this Province, take upon themselves to file Costs according to the Regulation of Seventeen Hundred and Nineteen, and to issue Executions thereon without Orders or Directions of the Judges of their Courts ; by which Means several of the Inhabitants of this Province, are very much prejudiced as your Committee conceive. And your Committee are of Opinion, that it is an Aggrievance to the Inhabitants, that the Officers should be allowed to charge their Fees by that Law in Seventeen Hundred and Nineteen, and to be executed for Fees tax'd in Bills of Costs charg'd by that Law : All which is referred to the House for their Consideration thereof.

Sign'd per Order, Richard Dorsey, G. Com.

The House concurs with the Report, and refers the further Consideration of it to next Assembly. The Question was put. That a Messige be sent to the Upper House to enforce the Paper-Currency Bill? Resolved in the Affirmative.

Mr. Hopewell,	Mr. Middleton,	Mr. Taylor,	Mr. Scott,
M. Blackstone,	Mr. Allen,	Mr. Brannock,	Mr. Magruder,
Mr. Howard,	Mr. Goldsborough,	Col. Herman,	Mr. Sprigg,
Mr. Hall,	Mr. Edmundson,	Mr. George,	Mr. Belt,
Mr. Beale,	Mr. Needles,	Mr. Ward,	Mr. Cumming,
Mr. Dulany,	Mr. Benson,	Mr. Wood,	Capt. Gordon,
Mr. Warfield,	Mr. Hooper,	Mr. Sheredine,	Mr. Elliott,

For the Affirmative.

Capt. Waughop,	Mr. Skinner,	Mr. Caldwell,
Mr. Read,	Mr. Courts,	Mr. Hamilton,
Mr. Hammond,	Mr. Hanson,	Mr. Wright,
Mr. Mackall,	Mr. Hawkins,	Mr. Fensley,
Mr. Smith,	Mr. Dasbiel,	Mr. Clayton,

For the Negative.

The Question was put, That the Paper Bill do not take Place, 'till the Lord Proprietary's Assent or Consent be known? Resolved in the Negative.

Mr. Hopewell,	Mr. Middleton,	Mr. Herman,	Mr. Magruder,
Mr. Blackstone,	Mr. Goldsborough,	Mr. George,	Mr. Sprigg,
Mr. Howard,	Mr. Hooper,	Mr. Ward,	Mr. Gordon,
Mr. Hall,	Mr. Taylor,	Mr. Wood,	Mr. Elliot,

For the Affirmative.

Capt. Waughop,	Mr. Skinner,	Mr. Edmundson,	Mr. Scott,
Mr. Read,	Mr. Courts,	Mr. Needles,	Mr. Belt,
Mr. Beale,	Mr. Hanson,	Mr. Benson,	Mr. Cumming,
Mr. Hammond,	Mr. Hawkins,	Mr. Brannock,	Mr. Wright,
Mr. Warfield,	Mr. Dasbiel,	Mr. Hamilton,	Mr. Fensley,
Mr. Mackall,	Mr. Caldwell,	Mr. Sheredine,	Mr. Clayton,
Mr. Smith,	Mr. Allen,		

For the Negative.