Query 5. Whether it is agreeable to the Laws of England, or the Liberty of a Brirish Subject, that he should be proceeded against, and condemned in a summary Way, where the Pine is very confiderable; and perhaps, the very Perfons that are to be his Judges, are his Advertages; and to deprive him of a Tryal by Jury, which is conceived to be his Birth Right, without any apparent

I think it is not agreeable to the Laws of England, to direct Penalties of this kind, especially of such Value to be recovered in a summary Way; and that in this Case, the Party ought not to have been deprived of a Tryal of Jury. But the Provision for the recovering this Penalty, is extremely imperfect, no Method of proceeding or of levying the Penalty, when recovered, being directed by

Query 6. Whether the prohibiting a Man, from profecuting or defending any Action brought by or against him, puts him not in a worse Circumstance, than that of Out-Lawry or Excommity nication? And whether, in Reason or Justice, the refusing the Oath proposed, ought to be punished with fuch Severities?

This Provision seems to be too severe, and in all Respects improper.

Query 7. Whether the AS of Assembly, now under Consideration, be not dissonant to Read fon and repugnant to the Law of England; and whether the enacting fuch Laws be not contrary to the Proviso in the Charter?

> P. YORKE. April 9, 1729.

Mr. Talbot, Sollicitor-General's Opinion, on those who practice the Law in Maryland. On an Act past in the Year 1725.

I T is not properly express'd, nor can be made consistent with it self, but by taking the Words, any greater or other Fee &c. to be Explanations of the Words, any Fee Answer. in the former Part of the Oath.

Query 2. There is nothing unjust for repugnant to the Laws of England, in obliging a Man, not to receive for his Labour, more than the stated Fees allowed by the Laws in Being: But in this Instance, it is highly unreasonable, and will introduce Ignorance and Negligence in the Professors of the Law; and in Consequence, prove injurious to the Clients, whose Causes frequently depend, for their Success, upon the Industry and Ability of those who manage them; if there is no Possibility of receiving a Reward adequate to a Man's Labour and Pains, it is not to be expected, that he should take any to deserve it.

Query 3. This is answered in what is said upon the last Query.

Query 4. I think it extremely unreasonable; and the former Law, which compels them to ferve for small stated Fees, very severe.

Query 5. It is unusual, and a President of dangerous Consequence; and therefore not proper to

be made by a new Law. Query 6. This seems to be unjust, especially with regard to defending Actions, which it is in no Man's Power to prevent being brought against him; and which, however by this Provision, he may be disabled from making a proper Desence, if the Law be intended to extend to those who are not Resident in Maryland, which the Proviso seems to intimate, (tho the Enacting Part is confined to the Inhabitants;) it is unjust, since they can neither sue nor defend properly, without having their Agents there: And, if they are not there themselves, they will have no Opportunity of taking the Outh prescribed.

Query 7. I am of Opinion, that it is dissonant to Reason, and in that Aspect, repugnant to the

Proviso in the Charter.

C. TALBOT.

John Hill, Elq; from the Upper Houle, delivered to Mr. Speaker the Perition of Thomas Worsley. Indors'd thus:

By the Upper House of Assembly, May 26, 1730. By the Upper House of Assembly, May 26, 1737. Read, and referred to the Consideration of the Lower House of Assembly.

Sign'd per Order, John Ross, Cl. Up. Ho. The House adjourns until To-morrow Morning, Eight of the Clock.

(Wednesday, May 27, 1730.)

HE House met according to Adjournment. The Members were called, and all present as Yesterday. The Proceeding of Yesterday we

The Petition of Thomas Worlley, referred here from the Upper House, was read and granted. The Petition of Ibomas Palmer, an Infolvent Prisoner for Debt, was read and granted, with this Indorfement : Saving to all Persons their Right of Action against the Sheritt, in whose Custody the Prisoner now is, for any Escape prior to the Act.

The House adjourns until Two of the Clock in the Afternoon.

(Wednesday)