

as it stands Signed per Order Mr Jenifer (L<sup>d</sup> House) which was sent with the bill to the upper House by Col Macken and five others they return and say they Delivered them

Bill for the relief of Lenny poor. (Languishing) Person was read the first and second times by Special Order and just as it was so August. and sent to the upper House by Col Woodford and Mr Greenfield they return and say they Delivered them

The House adjourns till Monday morning nine of the clock Monday morning Feb. 26<sup>th</sup> 1721 The house meets according to Adjournment. Saturday proceedings are read

Col Ward and three others from the upper House Deliver Mr Speer the Explanatory bill to the act to prevent making trawkey

Job: with the following Message Viz By the upper House of Assembly February 26<sup>th</sup> 1721. I attended on reading your Message in answer to our relating to the additions proposed by us to be made to the explanatory bill to the act to prevent the making trawkey, &c. we cannot conceive that those amendments will be any introduction to perjury unless to be voluntary; for that the Oaths therein prescribed will be much easier complied with than those in the former Law.

and for as much as the passing the explanatory Bill as you propose it will render the former Bills ineffectual w<sup>ch</sup> Law by sundry good advices has already had that good effect in Europe. that it ought to induce us to use our best endeavors to preserve it in our Country in the world (if we are not wanting to our selves) does making good Job: for a foreign Market as we are and we can depend upon the report of our Job Law in Egypt the Market did rise thirty Shillings per h<sup>h</sup> and that every Law prevented the parliament from repealing the act in our favour. and further by sundry advices we may expect several Ships w<sup>th</sup> cargoes to purchase tobacco in expectation of the continuance of our Law. w<sup>ch</sup> otherwise would not have ventured and therefore we must upon adding those amendments proposed without w<sup>ch</sup> we do not think it reasonable that the bill should pass Signed per Order of the upper House

In answer thereto the following Message was prepared Viz By the lower House of Assembly February 26<sup>th</sup> 1721. May it please Hon<sup>rs</sup> we have considered the reasons in your Message by Col Ward James Bowles & John Rousby Esq and humbly conceive they are not of suff<sup>ic</sup> weight to induce us to consent to your Hon<sup>rs</sup> amendments and therefore insist on the bill sent up by this House. Signed per Order Mr Jenifer (L<sup>d</sup> House)

Wherupon