

By upon suggestion that they were indebted to Sir Certain
John Goodwin and Other Merchants in London before
making any Demand or giving Notice of such their
Debtie some of which were said my Debtiehs with the Name
they are Charged Debtors to Others have been large Cre-
ditors instead of Debtors and many of these Debtors by the
said Merchants own Accounts were but very small amts.
giving names that of Sir Joun which by the Law of
the Land are not cognizable in the Banney Court notwithstanding
which such Persons have been Obliged to make ~~Debtors~~ ^{Debtors}
and Depend their Selves to their Great Experience and Regard
of their business in Attendance of Courts and when on returning
the greatness of their Expenses by such severe Proventions
have been made against they have been dismissed the Court
but could recover no Costing. The said Richfield because
of his Acting on behalf of the Court. ⁱⁿ the Towne and
Charge of what Defense might have been shewd to the peo-
ple in Case the said Richfield or his Attorney had not for-
gotten as let so understand in the said manner against
the Defendant without Demand or Notice & several of
them having Demandd to their Answers upon Oath: they
were never Demandd by the said Maurice Richfield ^{or}
or any other in the Majesty's Bench to give any Act:
of the matter in the Bill untill they were bound with
Judge was to answer the charge of which they shewd the
Maurice Richfield might have had the Demand satisfaction
they were able to give upon Oath: & to testify or request
in default of being put out of the unnecessary Charge
to answer the same.

It is therefore the humble Opinion of this
Comtee that the said Maurice Richfield & or others
concerned in the Execution of said ~~Charge~~ ^{Charge} made an
unlawful use of his Majesty's Name and Authority to the