

The house being called over members were present yesterday and the proceedings
of the house on yesterday were read.

On a motion made the question was put whether private bills shall be engrossed
and sealed at the publick charge hereafter

Resolved in the affirmative.

The message from the other house on yesterday by Col. Tighman about the
Salaries of \$ 45 P Cent on the duty of 18 P M. being read the following
was prepared in answer thereto and Entered First.

Oppay & please at hand. — By the lower house of Assembly Aug 3rd 1816
On Consideration of your message of yesterday by the honble G. L. C. Col.
Tighman about the Salaries of \$ 45 P Cent on the 18 P M. granted to the
Col. General Benedict & Co Baltimore in Consideration of his acceptance of his
Lands mts in No. at 2 P. Lib. we agree in regard the said money now to be
in the Treasurers hands for the security of the Country till his Lordships pleasure
Shall be known that the salary of 5 P cent ought to be made good to the
Propry but as to the Salary of 8 P cent arising due for Collecting & remitting
the said duty we are of opinion his Lordships ought to be at the charge for if his
pleasure had been known and that he accepted of it he must have appointed —
officers and pay salaries for collecting it and therefore orders and any
debates that in time to come may arise on the same. We desire to be satisfied whether
his Lordship has or shall accept of the said 18 P cent allowance being made him for the
Col. Comt salary on the Consideration in the Act for raising the same mentioned
for we are unwilling to postpone that matter. Signed & Co. The Marylanders etc. etc.
Co. Comt to the upper house by Majr. Allerton and Capt. James Gray

Oppay return and say they declined it.

Oppay & please at hand. — To the lower house of Assembly Aug 3rd 1816
Oppay & please at hand. — This house have

Fully heard Col. Edw. Logg and his Council in defence of what he was (says)
with by one message of the 26th July last he was fully acquainted with the uses
of this house as evidence ag. him who in our judgment have fully proved
their facts the facts we charged him with we have fully considered the D^r of
Logg's Answer in writing which you were pleased to lay before us and we find
thereby the said Col. Logg has denied that he ever made such allegations which
would have been flatly opposite to the matter of fact, we have had it fully provd
that such allegations were made and if flatly opposite to the matter of fact how
it can't find where Col. Logg had any Document to make such declaration had not
it been as a notice to the Committee to make the allowances complained of which he