

in Chancery by a Recognizance in Shancery
of this Province as good as if it were originally
acknowledged in this Province.

In the affirmative
Col^o W^m Coar
Mr. Henry Conroy
Mr. Thomas White
The Chancellor
The Gov^r. General

In the negative
Col^o W^m Coar
Mr. Ed^o Lloyd
Mr. Baker Brooke

Resolved

That the Recognizance before specified is a
Recognizance given in the Courts of Shancery
in this Province.

But to the Question whether the same be
rightly layd out or not.

Resolved in the negative

Because the Court w^o appeared by the Record
did give Judgmt. upon view of the Record.

When was taken into Consideration the second
Enrollment the power of the Chancellor and
his Deput. and w^o was.

When was taken into consideration the third
and last Enroll

Noted Enroll

Thus resolved first by what appeared to appear
Record the ground of the dismission of Snow
Case 111 of February was the testimony of
Thomas Manning & Hugh Stanley W^m Holliston
Richard Moor Francis Jackson & others w^o were
as the Record says and the letters of ad^m of
Justicial Power and the Quittance of the
granted

Secondly that the Quittance of being found upon
better view of the Record of testamentary
to bear date the first of April 1640 and
not possibly be in question considered to date
a date acknowledged 19th June 1640 which
were three months after.

Thirdly that a Recognizance upon Record
not be voided but by satisfaction upon record
Fourthly that although the Clerk hath by mist
standing had the word Arbitration the Court