

and our family then upon any ground of law
law, or Reason for the Court gave Judgment
as well upon the view of the Books
of our as for all other papers.
Do the second Error the J. G. would do the
- thirdly deny that the hon^{ble} Chancellor now being
was at the time of the judgment or the dismission
of the Court of Chancery in the 7th Case before
mentioned, Chief Judge of the Court of Chancery
for of before that time or at any time since, he
was by authority never layed as Chief
Judge of the Chancery Court or was in any
or taken for to be or ever admitted for to sit or
do he know that he knowe the Hon^{ble} Assum^{pt} ful
place or power as Chief Judge of that Court
But that the said Assum^{pt} ful now is
hath from time to time by virtue of his
as he suppose to fall as Judge of that Court
since his arrivall into this Province for
other ways if it should be granted that the
said Assum^{pt} ful in Judgment of Law situate
the Court of Chancery or inferior in place or
power to the Chancellor when he was
the person whom he Represents to say the
At home the Lord Prop^{rietary} inferior to those
are subject to under his Dominion which would
be some strange and incongruous both the
reason. And the J. G. would do the suppose that
the Chancellor is not constituted nor in the
Province according to the full complete authority
of regulation of the Lawes and Customs of England
But by virtue of a commission from the Lord Prop^{rietary}
of this Province and see hath not full complete
authority as is supposed, but grant it and so
yett under the Lord Prop^{rietary} hath not authority
parted with his particular authority in the
Court for the said Assum^{pt} ful do the
his day sit in Chancery as Chief Judge and all
Admissions are made to him in his Court
was it in Quorum case therefore the
Error cannot be made but is so the J. G.
suppose the Assum^{pt} ful's in matters
Do the third Error in the Assum^{pt} ful's