

“Will the Senate assent to said amendment?”

The yeas and nays were asked for, and on taking them, they appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Chapman, (Prest.)	Morris,
Emory,	Sappington,
Hughlett,	Wootton—7.
Montgomery,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Claude,	Groome,
Forrest,	Mayer—4.

So it was determined in the affirmative.

Mr. Forrest submitted the following amendments, which were read and assented to:

In the 6th line of the bill, after the word “court,” insert the following words, viz:—“supported by affidavit, or affirmation, as the case may be, of such party or other credible witness, that he or she believes the suggestion to be true, and that the same is not intended for delay.”

In the 9th line of the bill, strike out the word “other,” and insert “adjoining.”

The bill was read through, when,

On motion of Mr. Wootton,

The following amendment was read and assented to:

At the end of the bill, add,

“Provided always, That nothing contained in this act shall apply to any case now pending before any of the county courts of this state.”

The question was then put,

“Shall the bill be engrossed for a third reading?”

The yeas and nays were asked for, when, on taking them, they appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Claude,	Hughlett,
Forrest,	Mayer,
Groome,	Montgomery—6.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Chapman, (Prest.)	Sappington,
Emory,	Wootton—5.
Morris,	

So it was determined in the affirmative.

The clerk of the House of Delegates, delivered the following bills:

A bill, entitled, “An act, to divorce Margaret Calahan, of Frederick county, from her husband Patrick Calahan,