

thoroughly convinced of the propriety of the report of their committee, that that the report was adopted, and the House of Delegates were informed thereof by a message of the 22nd March, 1833, in the following words:

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

We beg leave to inform you that the resolution from your honorable body in favor of James Frazier of the city of Annapolis, was referred to the committee on revolutionary claims, which committee, on the 12th March instant, reported unfavorably thereon; the resolution and report were ordered to lie on the table. The report of the committee, this day has been concurred in, and the resolution consequently rejected; but after examination by the clerk it is ascertained that the resolution is mislaid, and cannot be found, so as to be returned to your honorable body. We have adopted this mode of apprising you of the determination of the senate relative to said resolution.

Your committee have deemed it proper to give a narrative of the action of the Senate upon the same claim last session, and after diligent enquiry, are of opinion that the resolution ought to be rejected, and therefore recommend the rejection thereof.

The said resolution was then read the second, and by special order the third time.

And the question was put,

“Will the Senate assent to said resolution?”

It was determined in the negative.

And the resolution returned to the House of Delegates.

Mr. Sappington submitted the following preamble and resolution.

Which were read the first, and by special order the second time and ordered to be engrossed for a third reading.