JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS [March 28,

the majority of the voters of the proposed new county of Carroll.

We believe that the provision from which we are asked to recede is demanded by every principle of consideration for the interests of the counties at large and of the minorities, if any shall exist, in the sections which are contemplated to form the new county, who may be averse to the formation. We believe that in all communities having the right of local government to any extent there is an implied understanding that the individual interests of the community are to be regulated and protected by the will and care of the majority of the individuals of such community. We think that such must be the just position emphatically applicable to changes of such a fundamental character as that now proposed. We must therefore decline receding from the amondment in question.

By order, Joseph H. Nicholson, Clk.

Mr. Wootton submitted the following as a substitute:
Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

We have received your message on the subject of the bill relative to Carroll county. We have reconsidered the bill and receded from the amendment which was obnoxious to your honorable house.

By order,

Jos. H. Nicholson, Clk.

The question was put, "Will the senate accept the substi-

The yeas and nays were asked for and on taking them they appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Forrest, (prest)

Dennis,

Hughlett,

Claude,

Emory,
Wootton—6

NEGATIVE.

Mossra Mayer.

Pigman,

Morris,

Sappington. - 4