

divorce William W. Vickars, and Rebecca Vickars, of Dorchester county, was read a second, and by special order a third time, and the question put, Shall the bill pass?

The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Marriott, Pres't.

Dennis

Forrest

Harrison

Kennedy

Sewell

Smith

Thomas

Whiteley—5

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Heath

Herbert

Rees—3

Determined in the affirmative. So the bill was passed and returned to the house of delegates.

Mr. Kennedy, from the committee to which was re-committed the bill, entitled, An act to divorce Charity Alderson and William C. Alderson, reported the same with the following amendment, viz.

Amendment proposed.

Strike out all that follows the enacting clause, and insert, "That Charity Alderson be, and she is hereby divorced from bed, board, and mutual cohabitation, with her husband, William C. Alderson, of Baltimore county, that she may, and shall henceforth take and be known by the name of Charity Stansbury, and that the said Charity shall have and exercise all the rights, privileges and immunities, and be subject to all the legal responsibilities, of a feme sole, except that of marrying again, in the same manner as she would have been if she had never been married; and that the said William C. Alderson shall not, by virtue of his marriage with the said Charity, be in any manner entitled to, or authorised to have or claim any right, title or interest, in the estate, real, personal or mixed, of the said Charity; whether acquired by the said Charity prior to, or to be acquired by her, after the passage of this act; nor shall the said Charity be in any manner entitled to have or claim any right, title or interest in the estate, real, personal or mixed, of the said William C. Alderson; whether acquired by the said William C. Alderson prior to, or to be acquired by him after the passage of this act; and that neither the said William nor the said Charity, shall be liable for any debt that may be hereafter contracted by the other.