

tion strike out the word "informer," and insert the words "person who shall prosecute for the same."

Which amendments were read the first time.

On motion of Mr. Forrest, the said bill was ordered to be printed, as amended by the committee.

Mr. Heath, from the committee, made an unfavourable report upon the bill, entitled, An act to authorise Luther J. Cox, and Maria, his wife, of the city of Baltimore, to lease certain lots or parcels of ground. The said bill was then read a second, and by special order a third time, and rejected.

Mr. Heath, from the committee, made an unfavourable report upon the bill, entitled, An act to provide for the fuller administration of justice in equity cases in Allegany county. The said bill was then read a second, and by special order a third time, and rejected.

Mr. Heath then offered the following message; which was read the first time and laid on the table.

By the Senate,

January 22, 1831

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

The senate has rejected, and now returns to you, the bill, entitled, An act for the fuller administration of justice in equity cases in Allegany county. The senate has been reluctantly constrained to pursue this course, although several of the provisions of the bill are approved of, because the bill contains a provision requiring the payment of money out of the public treasury, which presents a constitutional impediment to its amendment in the senate. The senate does not doubt but the additional terms contemplated by the bill, are proper and required by the public interest of that section of the state, yet the mode of compensation of the judges adapted in the bill, is deemed objectionable. To make to a judge, receiving a stated salary, a per diem allowance, in addition to such salary, would not be proper. To allow to such judge mileage, out of the public treasury, whilst he is travelling his circuits in performance of his duty, would also, in the opinion of the senate, be incorrect. The bill, under consideration, contains both these obnoxious provisions, and is therefore rejected.

The senate will only add, that a bill containing a provision for two additional terms of Allegany county court, for the transaction of equity business, and making it the duty of the associate judges of the fifth district, or one of them,