

the office of the clerk of Somerset county court, were read and assented to.

The bill to authorise the levy court of Washington county to levy a sum of money to be applied towards the erection of a bridge over the Conococheague creek, on the road leading from Hagerstown to Mercersburg, was read a third time, passed and returned to the house of delegates.

The further supplement to the act, to provide for the administration of justice, in the case of crimes and misdemeanors, in the city and precincts of Baltimore, was read a second time, and ordered to be engrossed for a third reading.

Mr. Johnson from the committee, reported a bill entitled, an act to change the time of electing representatives of this state in the Congress of the United States, which was read the first time.

The supplement to the act entitled, an act against excessive usury, was read a third time, when Mr. Johnson, moved to recommit the bill, with instructions to the committee, to amend the same, by adding the following, as an additional section, viz: "And be it enacted, that the third section of the act of assembly, of September session, one thousand seven hundred and four, chapter sixty-nine, be, and the same is hereby repealed, *Provided*, however, that such repeal shall not be held to effect any legal proceedings already instituted, under the provisions of the said section, but that the same may be prosecuted to final judgment, in the same manner as if the said section had not been repealed." After much discussion, the further consideration of the question was postponed.

The senate adjourned until to-morrow morning 10 o'clock.

Friday, January 19th, 1827.

The Senate met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

Mr. Dennis offered the following message, which was read, assented to, and sent to the house of delegates, with the bill to which it relates.

By the Senate, January 19th, 1827.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

We regret that we cannot concur with you in the opinion you express, in your message of the 16th inst. favourable to the passage of the bill, entitled, an act authorising the recording of a deed, from Henry Howard, of John, to Andrew Anderson. The reason you assign for legislating specially on the subject matter of said bill, is, that the value of the property to which a legal title is designed by said bill, to be secured, is not sufficient in amount, to justify the payment of the costs to which a suit instituted in chancery, to obtain such relief, would subject the complainant. It is stated in the bill referred to in your message, that the deed mentioned therein, was executed in the year eighteen hundred and nine, but whether the grantee or those claiming under him, have been in possession