

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

On motion by Mr. Thomas the question was put, that the further consideration of the said bill be postponed until to-morrow? Determined in the negative.

The question was then put, that the house adopt the amendment proposed by Mr. Bayly as amended? Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion by Mr. Lloyd, the following was proposed as a new section to the said bill: And be it enacted that the printer before he proceeds to print the laws shall give bond and security to the state double the amount of the sum advanced by the provisions of this act.

Which motion was objected to by Mr. Van Horn as being out of order, but the speaker decided that the said motion was in order, upon which an appeal was made to the house by Mr. Van Horn from the decision of the chair, and the question put, is the decision of the chair correct? The yeas and nays being required appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE—Messrs. Sellman, R. Dorsey, Stewart, Gray, Randall, Little, Stansbury, Harryman, Dickinson, Martin, Lloyd, Kemp, Bayly Long, J. C. Wilson, Duvall, Claude, Meconniken, Harrison, Stevens, Sewell, Bradford, Hall, Forwood, Denny, Willis, Styll, W. Steuart, Hughes, Bowles, M'Mahon—31

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Scott, Neale, Causin, Spencer, Boyer, Tilghman, Brown, Ireland, Stonestreet, Stoddert, Dennis, Griffith, Beard, A. D. Mitchell, Davidson, Somerville, Beall, Calvert, Van Horn, E. K. Wilson, Quinton, J. Mitchell, Taney, Thomas, Howard, Johnson, Jones, Riggs, Kilgour, Hilleary—30

So it was resolved, in the affirmative.

The house adjourns until to-morrow morning nine o'clock.

WEDNESDAY, January 10.

The house met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

On motion by Mr. Van Horn, the question was put, that the proceedings of yesterday relative to a motion made by Mr. Lloyd to insert a new section to the bill for the payment of the civil list for the year eighteen hundred and fifteen, and which motion the speaker decided to be in order, be corrected by inserting after the word order in the second line of the paragraph the following words "because the matter thereof is included in the amendment of Mr. Stoddert to the amendment proposed by Mr. Bayly and adopted by the house?" The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Scott, Neale, Causin, Spencer, Boyer, Tilghman, Brown, Gray, Ireland, Stonestreet, Stoddert, Bayly, Long, Dennis, J. C. Wilson, Hart, Griffith, Lecompt, Beard, A. D. Mitchell, Davidson, Somerville, Beall, Calvert, Van Horn, E. K. Wilson, Quinton, J. Mitchell, Taney, Thomas, Howard, Johnson, Jones, Riggs, Kilgour, M'Mahon, Hilleary, Prather.—39

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Sellman, R. Dorsey, T. H. Dorsey, C. Steuart, Little, Stansbury, Harryman, Dickinson, Martin, Lloyd, Kemp, Duvall, Meconniken, Harrison, Stevens, Sewell, Bradford, Hall, Forwood, Denny, Willis, Styll, W. Steuart, Hughes, Kershner, Schnebly, Bowles, E. G. Williams.—28

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The amendments proposed by the senate to the bill entitled, an additional supplement to the act to regulate public ferries, were read the second time, assented to and the bill ordered to be engrossed.

The amendments proposed by the senate to the bill entitled, a further supplement to the act entitled, an act for quieting possessions and securing and confirming the estates of purchasers, were read the second time, assented to and the bill ordered to be engrossed.

On motion by Mr. Taney, the following message was read, agreed to and sent to the senate:

BY THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES, January 10, 1816.

Gentlemen of the senate,

We return to you for reconsideration the bill entitled, a supplement to the act entitled, an act for the benefit of the widow and infant children of Thomas Gibson, late of Frederick county, deceased, to which we ask the concurrence of your honorable house.

By order,

LOUIS GASSAWAY, Clk.

The bill to repeal part of the act entitled, an act for the ease of the inhabitants in examining evidences relating to the bounds of lands and in the manner of obtaining injunctions, was sent to the senate.

The house resumed the further consideration of the bill entitled, an act to pay the civil list and other expenses of civil government for the year eighteen hundred and fifteen.

On motion by Mr. Lloyd, the question was put that the amendment proposed by him as a new section to the bill be withdrawn? Resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Little then moved to insert the following in lieu thereof:

"And be it enacted, That this act shall not be construed to require the printer of the state to give bond and security for more than the sum actually received in advance." Which motion was objected to by Mr. Kilgour as being out of order, but the speaker decided that the said motion was in order, upon which an appeal was made to the house by Mr. Kilgour from the decision of the chair, and the question put, "is the decision of the chair correct?" The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Neale, Brown, Sellman, R. Dorsey, T. H. Dorsey, C. Steuart, Gray, Ireland, Randall, Little, Stansbury, Harryman, Dickinson, Lloyd, Kemp, Bayly, Long, J. C. Wilson, Pitt, Lecompt, Calvert, Duvall, Meconniken, Harrison, Stevens, Taney, Sewell, Bradford, Hall, Forwood, Denny, Willis, Styll, W. Steuart, Hughes, Kershner, Schnebly, Bowles, E. G. Williams, M'Mahon, Hilleary, Prather.—42

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Scott, Causin, Boyer, Tilghman, Stoddert, Hart, Griffith, Beard, A. D. Mitchell, Davidson, Somerville, Beall, Van Horn, E. K. Wilson, Quinton, Thomas, Johnson, Jones, Riggs, Crabb, Kilgour, Tomlinson.—22

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put that the house adopt the section proposed by Mr. Little? The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Sellman, R. Dorsey, T. H. Dorsey, C. Steuart, Randall, Little, Stansbury, Harryman, Dickinson, Lloyd, Kemp, Lecompt, Duvall, Meconniken, Harrison, Stevens, Sewell, Brad-