

On motion by Mr. Wilson, the question was put, That the name of Samuel Porter, be stricken out of said clause, for the purpose of inserting the name of William Shaw? Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion by Mr. Little, That the names of James Ringgold and Charles Tilden be stricken out of said clause for the purpose of inserting James Parker and James Brook, a motion was made by Mr. C. Dorsey to refer the consideration of said bill to the 1st day of August next? Determined in the negative.

The question was then put on Mr. Little's motion? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Bowles, the question was put, That the further consideration of the same be postponed until 6 o'clock this evening? Resolved in the affirmative.

The house adjourns until 6 o'clock, P. M.

6 O'CLOCK, P. M.

The house met.

Mr. Frisby delivers a bill entitled, An act to alter the times of the meeting of the court of appeals, and for other purposes; Mr Sanders delivers a bill entitled, An act for the benefit of Owen O'Neil, devisee of Francis O'Neil, late of Harford county; Mr. Little delivers a bill entitled, An act for the relief of John Walters, an insolvent debtor of Queen Anne's county; and Mr. Harryman delivers a bill entitled, A further additional supplement to an act entitled, An act for opening a certain road in Baltimore county therein mentioned; which were severally read.

Mr. Sanders delivers the following report:

THE Committee to whom was referred the petition of John Norris, of Harford county, report, That they have taken the same into consideration, and find that the said John and Jacob Norris in his lifetime, (which said Jacob is since dead) did on the fourteenth day of March, in the year seventeen hundred and ninety six, purchase of the State of Maryland, through the then agent of the state, William Marbury, a tract or parcel of land lying in Harford county, called "Barr," supposed to contain two hundred and twenty-four acres, and passed their bond to the state for the sum of three hundred seventy-one pounds five shillings, as by reference to the bond herewith produced will appear.

Your Committee further find, That the said John and Jacob Norris, were sued upon their bond in the late general court, and judgment rendered against them for the amount thereof, The said John and Jacob Norris, believing that the said tract did not contain the quantity specified and for which they had bonded, filed a bill in the court of chancery, to stay proceedings upon said judgment until the fair quantity of land could be correctly ascertained. They further find, that after a long, tedious and expensive proceeding in the court of chancery, a deed was executed to your