

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, NOVEMBER SESSION, 1807. 19

The following message was read, agreed to, and sent to the house of delegates by the clerk.

By the S E N A T E, December 9, 1807.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

WE have assented to your resolution regulating the election of two directors on the part of the state in the Farmers Bank of Maryland. Mr. William Hayward and Mr. William Kilty are put in nomination by the senate, and we have appointed Mr. Williams and Mr. Partridge to join the gentlemen who may be named by your house to examine the ballots.

By order, T. ROGERS, clk.

The clerk of the house of delegates delivers the following message:

By the H O U S E of D E L E G A T E S, December 9, 1807.

Gentlemen of the Senate,

WE have received your message of this day proposing to proceed immediately to the election of two directors on the part of this state in the Farmers Bank of Maryland. David Kerr, junior, is put in nomination by this house in addition to the gentlemen named by your house. Mr. Stevens and Mr. Stone are appointed by this house to join the gentlemen named by you to examine the ballots.

By order, J. BREWER, clk.

Which was read.

The senate, pursuant to their resolution, proceeded to the election of two directors on the part of this state in the Farmers Bank of Maryland; the ballots being deposited in the ballot box, on examination thereof it appeared, that William Kilty had eighty-one votes, William Hayward forty-three votes, and David Kerr, junior, thirty-eight votes. Whereupon it is declared in the senate, that William Kilty and William Hayward, having had a majority of the votes of all the attending members of both branches of the legislature, are duly elected directors on the part of this state in the Farmers Bank of Maryland, for and during the term prescribed by law.

The senate, pursuant to their resolution, proceeded to the second reading of the bill, entitled, An act for quieting possessions, and securing and confirming the estates of purchasers, and on progression in reading said bill, the question was put, Will the senate receive the following as an amendment thereto? to wit: After the word "husband" in the 5th line of the 4th page insert "or privately out of the hearing of the husband." The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

Mr. Covington, Mr. M'Elerry, Mr. Partridge, Mr. Smithson, Mr. Williams. 5.

N E G A T I V E.

Mr. Thomas, president, Mr. Dorsey, Mr. Gibson, Mr. Lowrey, Mr. Shriver, Mr. Whitely. 6.

So it was determined in the negative.

The bill being read throughout, the question was put, Will the senate receive the following as an amendment? to wit: At the end of the bill add "or where any person or persons are in the possession of the property in virtue of a compromise with the person executing such defective deed, or those claiming under him, her or them." Resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put, Shall this bill pass with the proposed amendment? Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion; Leave given to bring in a bill, entitled, A further supplement to the act, entitled, An act relating to the public roads in Queen Anne's county. ORDERED, That Mr. Lowrey, Mr. Dorsey and Mr. Whitely, be a committee to prepare and bring in the same.

A petition from sundry inhabitants of the city and county of Baltimore, praying a law to alter the road therein mentioned, was preferred; read, and referred to Mr. M'Elerry, Mr. Smithson and Mr. Partridge, to consider and report thereon.

The bill, entitled, A further supplement to the act, entitled, An act for the amendment of the law, was read the second time and will pass with the proposed amendment.

Amendment proposed. At the end of the bill add "but upon her coverture being suggested, her husband may appear thereto, and in case he does not appear to such action, at the term at which such coverture is suggested, the defendant may proceed to make the said husband a party to such action, according to the provisions of the act to which this is a supplement."

The resolution in favour of Monica Greenwell, and the resolution in favour of John Lynch, were severally read the second time and dissented from.

The following message was read and agreed to.

By the S E N A T E, December 9, 1807.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

WE have dissented from your resolution in favour of Monica Greenwell, under an opinion that the adjustment of that, and all similar claims, is provided for by law, and referable to the chancellor.

By order, T. ROGERS, clk.

The senate adjourns until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock.