1

British property within this state, was sent to the house of delegates by Charles Carroll of Carrollton, Esq;

Br Tag SENATE, DECEMBER 23, 1779.

ALTHOUGH by our mellige accompanying the bill for the seizure and confication of British property, returned with a negative, we plainly incimated we had not gone into a full confideration thereof, and assigned our reasons for not going into such a consideration at this session, yet, as in your message by Medieurs J. Hall and others, you prue the passage of the bill, and have adduced some arguments to induce us to alter our resolution, permit us to discuss them with that coolness which the importance of the

lustice, policy, and necessity, you say, influence your conduct. It not unfrequently happens, that subject requires. different ideas of justice, policy, and necessity, are entertained by different bodies of men. We are not convinced of the justice of the bill, less of its policy, and least of all of its necessity. We have not had fusicient time to make those strict and full researches this the law of nations, which, you say, you have made. As far as we have examined into the subject, we doubt, whether, by a fair construction of that law, the declaration of independence can have fuch a retrospective operation, as to vest in this state all British property acquired by individuals antecedently to it. We presame the law of nations lays down no rule, by which, in revolutions like the present, the subjetts adhering to the old, may be clearly diffinguished from those of the new government, otherwise you would not have thought it necessary to aftertain, by a positive law, who shall be deemed Britist subjects. The distinctions fet up by the bill are in fome justaness arbitrary, and without the function of law, ought not of themselves to deprive the persons described of the benefit and privileges of citizenship, and therefore we do not well conceive, how the property of the persons meant to be included in the bill became vested in this state by the law of nations, co-operating with the declaration of independence. Some of the actions which are to constitute the actors British subjects, are of that nature as to make the bill, with respect to them, entirely retrospective, and of course configry to our declaration of rights; others again are so highly eximinal as so subject the offenders to our treaton law, a law still substitting, and evincing beyond the possibility of doubt, that the legislature which passed it, considered forms of the very persons as subjects of this state, which the present bill confiders as British subjects, thereby depriving them of the trial by jury, subjecting them to all the paine and penaltics of treation, except death, inflicting outlawry and exile, without the judgment of their peers, and consequently having in many instances, the full force and effect of a bill of attainder. But admit, for the sake of argument, that by the rigour of the law of nations, the property of all British subjects, as alien enemies, is fortested; the difficulty of drawing the line to afcertain who shall be deemed British subjects, without wounding the constitution, still remains; under this impression, therefore, we would sather our on the fide of indulgence, though not merited, than wiclate our confliction, by throwing down those barriers with which it has wisely secured the liberty and property of the subject. We are averse from setting a precedent in order to reach persons really criminal, which may hereatter be extended to the oppression of the innocent; intemperate real and intemperate resentments have frequently given fatal stabs to governments as tree as outs. We need not remind you that the rigour of law is often injustice, and you are too well informed not to know that the rigour of the law of nations is much fortened in this very point by the present usage and practice of the most civilized European nations; for the truth of this affertion we appeal to Vattell, a late and celebrated writer on the law of nations. As an independent and civilised people, would we not rather wish to imitate the conduct of all the civilised and enlightened nations of Europe, than that of the piratical states of Barbary? The consequences of such a serzure and confiscation may be serious and perplexing; a negotiation may possibly take place this winter, and peace foon enfac; it may, and probably will, be made a preliminary article of the peace, that that very property which this bill is about to conficate, shall be second to the prosent owners in fuch a manner, than they may at least receive the full and real value thereof. If therefore it should at this time he confifeated and applied, our constituents must be taxed, to repay the original proprietors the full value, of the lands and chattels for confiscated and applied; and this, in our judgment, forms one of the Alreagest objections to the policy of the measure. You say, you propose to make five millions two hundred and twenty showland dollars from the fale of British property, and to make the first payment on the first day of next February; if so, the property must be put up to sale immediately, or on the shortest notice, at a time too when from the inclemency of the weather sew will be able to attend the sales; others may be discouraged by doubts respecting the title, and the possibility of a resomption; the invidiousness of the purchase may deter tome from purchasing. Under this concursence of circumftances, is it not rational to conclude, that there will be but few purchasers, and little competition, and of course that this property will be fold greatly under value? It requires no great degree of penetration to foresee, who will become purchasers; we may venture to predict, that they will consist altogether of engrossers and speculators, men who have acquired great sums for little value, and therefore may afford to run the rife, whatever it may be, of realifing their money on such easy terms. We submit to your serious restection, and that of our constituents, whether the practices of those men have been such as to deserve so great an encourageo ment from the representatives of the people. You have informed us of the time for the first payment on the sales of British property; we wish you had likewise informed us when the last is proposed to be made; if it should be deferred to a long day, we apprehend the advantage to the public will still be more inconsiderable; for the industry and the arts of the probable purchasers, too successfully practised on ether eccasions, may depreciate the money to such a degree that the state may receive next to nothing in the end. But the public exigences are such, as to lay you under the absolute necessity of selling British property, to raise the whole sum of sources millions two hundred and twenty thousand dollars, required