

House he likewise brought these following Bills viz^t: The Act for Confirming the Title of a Parcell of Land in Calvert County in Samuel Holdsworth and his heirs against Edward Husbards and his heirs for ever thus —
 Indorsed viz^t —

Lower house of Assembly Sep^r the 8th 1681 —

The Grounds and reasons that induc'd the Lower House to pass this Bill were such as therein expressed and in the Affidavits herewith sent to the Upper house, and because the within named Edward Husbards is fled out of this Province so that Holdsworth is remediless both in Law and Equity unless he be assisted this way which they leave to the Consideration of the Upper House —

Signed J. Order —

J. Boteler C^l Assistant of the Lower house of Assembly
 An Act Restraining the Exportation of Leather &c: thus Indorsed viz^t
 Lower house of Assembly Sep^r 8th 1681.

This house conceives that the great Disparity of Hides in Goodness will not Admitt of any certain Rate to be putt upon them and therefore cannot reasonably lay any such Injunction as is Desired by the Upper House But this house do Believe that this Act will much Augment the Number of Tanners within this Province whereby the Price of Hides will be much Advanced to the Profit of the Owners that way, besides that it will conduce much to the Supply of the Inhabitants —

Signed J. Order Boteler C^l Assistant of the Lower house of Assembly.

Also the following Message viz^t: 7th Day of September 1681.

This house doth conceive the Upper house Mistaken in the Act for Confirmation of Laws sent them yesterday by this house

As to the first part thereof this house never Questions but takes it for Undoubted that a Law made by both houses and assented to by his Lordship cannot be repealed but by Consent of both Houses —

But the desire of this house for the Upper houses Concurrence is (as by the Preamble and Sense of the former part of the Act may appear) that all Laws made the last Session of Assembly (which they hold and conceive still in force) may not be repealed, Abrogated, Made Void or Dissented to without the Consent of this house —

As to the Second part of the said Act and the Second part of the message thereupon sent us, This house doth not conceive where in the Danger (intimated in the said message) consists, if the Upper house mean it Danger on for his Lordship (as the best construction of the Words seem to Import) it seems an Infringement of his Lordships Due Rights and Priv. ^{ileges} Granted him by his Charter, wherein his Lordship hath an in p^rib^l and Large Power by Consent of the Freemen of this Province to make Laws that shall be good and Valid under such particular Limitations and Restrictions therein expressed. —