

The said Bond was for 2000 Sterling from the said Balley to Stapleford with a Condition to stand to Arbitration and Award in case of not Meeting of the said Balley and attending the Arbitrators when they should appoint to meet the said Balley to forfeit a 1000^l. wherefore since no Award was made by the said Arbitrators the said Balley could not forfeit the said 2000 and since It was never made Appear that Balley had any Notice of the Meeting of the said Arbitrators Judgment could not go against the said Balley for 1000 Sterd

Also it is Ironious in this in that the Record doth not Ascertain what Bond when Dated or for what Sum the said Bond was the said Balley Confessed to be his Deed so that if the said Stapleford should Commence his Action a New against the said Balley upon the very Bond which he then and there Confessed the said Balley by reason of the uncertain ties aforesaid cannot Plead the said Judgment in Barr thereof

Also it is Ironious in this in that the said Judgment is for no certain Sum but wholly uncertain and Dubious and altogether void in Law by reason of the uncertainty thereof as in the Record it is said Whereupon Judgment Pap. for the Plaintiffs whereas it ought to have been Mentioned in this manner Wherefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Raymond recover against the said John as well the said Sum of his Debt of as also the Sum of for his Costs &

Also the said Writ of Scire facias was Manifestly Ironious in that the same was Surrupitiously Obtained by false Information of the said Stapleford to his Excellency the Captain General Chief Justice of the said Court pretending the said Judgment was for 2000 Sterd and that in his Answer in Chancery the said Stapleford had Sworn the said Judgment was but for 100 Sterd and had not the said Stapleford falsly Informed his Excellency and by such false Insinuations procured his Excellency's Order mentioned in the Record to the Clerk the said Scire facias by reason of the Uncertainty of the said Judgment could never have been Sued out by any Attorney who ought to have Sued out the same

And hereupon the said B Balley saith that in the Record and Proceps and also in Rendering of the Judgment aforesaid & issuing out the Scire facias thereupon it Manifestly Erred as the said John hath Sufficiently made Appear in alleadging the Errors aforesaid by him in form aforesaid alleadged, and the said John prayeth that the Judgment aforesaid together with all the Proceps thereupon for these Errors and others in the Record and Proceps aforesaid alleadged be Revoked & Nullified and held for nought and that he the said John be all these things which he by Occasion of the Premises hath Lost may be Restored and that the said Raymond to the said Errors may answer wherefore

Robert Ridgley J. Quer

Also the same Day, to wit, the 26th Day of May aforesaid before his said