

Henry Spinke pl^t }
 Doc. Luke Barber D^f } The Plaintiffs petition & the Defendants answer
 thereto & the Charge against the plaintiff being read over, The Plaintiff Elinor
 Spinke her husband being absent by her Attorney Ed^w Swans sayth that
 whereas the Defend^t having taxed the plaintiff in open Court affirming that
 her oath was false & malicious is matter of fact & therefore desireth
 the Board to deliver their opinion & judgment in the same

And after some debate in the cause the judgment of the Board is
 that if Doctor Barber uttered these words after the said Elinor had
 taken & given in her oath in Court it ought to be judged & esteemed
 as matter of fact

Then the pl^t Craves a Jury which was Granted

Warrant to Sheriff to imprannel a jury returnable forthwith

Edw^d Clark sworn & Examined in open Court with that after
 Elinor Spinke had delivered in her oath Doctor Barber threw it to Mr
 Hall & bade him record them, for they were false & malicious & he did
 not question but to prove her perjured & further saith not

Mr Walter Hall sworn & Examined likewise in open Court with
 that after Elinor Spinke was sworn, the Doctor gave this Depoⁿ: his
 Depositions the one being Elinor Spinke & bade him record them &
 be careful of them for he would prove them false & malicious & made
 no doubt but to get under the Lord Chancellours hand of England to
 punish such malicious persons as those were & further saith not

Sheriff returns his Writt and warned

| | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------|
| Foreman | W ^m Calveot Esq ^r | James Lindsey |
| | Fabrick Torroft | Dand Clocher |
| | Tho ^s Hussey | John Lumbroza |
| | Rob ^t Boyton | Tho ^s Watton |
| | Peter Wallis | Geo: Thompson |
| | W ^m Robinson | Nich ^d God |

The Jurors Charge given is this Viz:

Whither Doctor Luke Barber accusing Elinor Spinke in saying, her
 oath was false and malicious those words were spoken by him before or
 after she had taken her oath, if spoken before, to find
 for the Def^t; if after, to find for the Plaintiff with Costs & Damages.

After long debate the Jurors came into Court & demand 30 Tob^o ahead
 as was allowed that former Jury inter John Abington pl^t & Jerome
 White D^f which was allowed & Granted by the Board

Then they delivered their verdict as followeth viz

The Jury doth award unto the pl^t for damages thirty thous^d pounds
 of Tobacco & Cash with Costs & Charges of Suit.