

## ADDITIONAL ANSWERS OF T. FILLEBROWN, Jr.

[2ND SERIES.]

To the 1st additional interrogatory, in these words—“Why was the limit to the issue of canal scrip, originally reported by the committee to the stockholders’ meeting in June, 1840, taken off?”

I answer—That the resolution authorising the issue of scrip, contained a proviso limiting the amount to \$250,000. On being presented by the committee to the stockholders, Mr. Thomas, President of the company, entered into explanations to show that the amount would be too small for the exigencies of the company, and that it was inexpedient to insert any limitation in the resolution; and in accordance with his views, on motion of Mr. Key, chairman of the committee, the proviso was stricken out.

To the 2d additional interrogatory, in these words—“Upon what funds of the company was this issue of scrip based?”

I answer—That I know of no specific funds having been pledged or set apart for its redemption at maturity.

To the 3d additional interrogatory, in these words—“With whom originated the proposition to issue the scrip authorised by the meeting in June, 1840?”

I answer—That at the meeting of the stockholders in June, memorials were presented from sundry contractors and merchants, requesting such issue. These papers were referred to a committee of seven individuals, who reported the resolution referred to in my answer to the first interrogatory.

To the 4th additional interrogatory, in these words—“What was the vote in the Board upon such proposition to issue scrip?”

I answer—That I have examined the journal of proceedings of the President and Directors, and find it there recorded, on the 17th June, that the President offered for consideration an order directing the issue of scrip, at 9 months after date, with interest, as the wants of the company may require; which order was adopted by the board by the following vote:

Ayes, Messrs. Thomas, Pres’t. Lucas, McPherson and Dunlop. No, Mr. Swann.

T. FILLEBROWN, Jr.

February 4, 1841.