

to become counsel of said company, to solicit said subscription—and that a specific compensation had been offered on behalf of said company to be paid to him for such services, or to such person or persons, as he should employ to co-operate with him in soliciting said subscription? And if your answer be in the affirmative, then state by whom you were so informed, and the amount of such compensation—and whether you were also informed that the said McCulloh had employed counsel, and had stipulated that the whole of said compensation should enure to the exclusive use and benefit of such counsel—and name said counsel.

Were you, or were you not, informed about the same time, that the said McCulloh wished to agree, and had agreed, to compensate Messrs. Robert W. Kent, and Philemon Chew for remaining at Annapolis, to advise the passage of an act to provide for a subscription of \$3,000,000 being made by the General Assembly of Maryland, to the capital stock of the Baltimore and Ohio Rail Road company—an equal subscription to the capital stock of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal Company—with such other subscriptions to kindred but minor works, as they might deem proper and necessary? And if your answer be in the affirmative, state whether you were, or were not, acquainted with the reasons that influenced the said McCulloh to engage the services and co-operation of said persons—and state, generally, what conversations you had, at or about that time, with the said McCulloh with reference to the said subjects, and each of them.

Interrogatories to be answered by Joseph J. Merrick.

Were you, or were you not, employed as counsel to solicit a loan of \$1,000,000 from the General Assembly of Maryland, at December session, 1834, for the Baltimore and Susquehanna Rail Road Company? And if you were, then state, by whom you were so employed—what compensation was stipulated to be paid to you on behalf of said companies—and by whom—whether the said compensation has been received by you, and applied exclusively to your own use and benefit without any person or persons whatsoever participating therein—and also, state whether any other counsel were employed therein to co-operate with you—and if any, name them.

Were you, or were you not, requested to become counsel to solicit the General Assembly of Maryland, at December session, 1835, to subscribe to the capital stock of said company, to be formed to construct a canal from Georgetown in the District of Columbia, to Annapolis, as a continuation of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal? And if your answer be in the affirmative, then state who made such application to you—at what time—what was the tenor of your reply—and generally, what passed between you, and such applicant, with reference to said subject.