

viate the necessity for an umpire, and thus remove another difficulty.

Or with reference to the appointment of an umpire, it is not improbable that you might mutually name several, the first named, living, to act in that capacity, as occasion shall require.

And with regard to the *fourth* of their propositions, by shewing that no loss could befall any, except the wilfully careless—they might abandon this topic, and be content that you should only be held liable for damages arising from the carelessness of your agents—their neglect to give notice.

But in all events, as great benefit may result to both companies from an effort to reach a mutually satisfactory understanding on those several topics, I repeat with all due deference, my earnest wish that a committee may be raised to confer thereon; and in like manner, I beg leave to add, that your answer, having closed that path, the president and directors of that company could not feel authorised to repeat their application directly—and therefore, I have regarded it as my bounden duty to request the reconsideration of these subjects by yourselves, to the end that harmony may be produced, and the deliberations of the approaching general meetings of the stockholders of both companies, result in benefit to all, and to the State at large.

With great respect, your ob't serv't,

JAS. WM. McCULLOH,

A representative of the stock owned by
Maryland in Roads and Canals.

To JOS. W. PATTERSON, Esq. President pro. tem. of the Baltimore and Ohio Rail Road Company.

Interrogatories to be answered by Alexander Nesbit.

[These interrogatories were presented by Mr. McCulloh, with a request that the committee would propound them to the individuals alluded to.]

Did you as President of the Baltimore and Susquehanna Rail Road Company, in the month of December, 1834, apply to James Wm. McCulloh, to become its counsel, to solicit aid for said company from the General Assembly of Maryland? And if your answer be in the affirmative, then give his reply to said application.

Did you soon after the act was passed at December session, 1834, of the General Assembly of Maryland, granting said aid, express to Jas. William McCulloh any desire to compensate the services he had rendered, by soliciting said loan for said rail road company? And if your answer be in the affirmative—then state whether he accepted, or refused to accept, such compensation; and generally what conversation passed in that interview, relative to compensating, or acknowledging said services.