

These remarks are made upon the lotteries and the mode of drawing in vogue, when we were in the business. The system is different since, and at this period—whether this would affect the first branch of your enquiries, we cannot, from any experience, say. As to the 2d branch, we cannot conceive, there could be any difference.

Very respectfully, yours,

J. I. COHEN, JR. & BROTHERS.

Letter from Commissioners of Lotteries to General Sheppard C. Leakin.

Office of the Commissioners of Lotteries, }
Baltimore, 19th January, 1835. }

Sir:—The Commissioners of Lotteries understood that a report was in circulation, charging some of the city dealers with subdividing tickets below the amount authorised by them, and being desirous of being informed of any violations that may exist under the Lottery system this State, take the liberty respectfully to ask of you, the name or names of any dealers, in this city, who have made any such subdivisions herein spoken of being informed that you have stated the existence of such practices.

We are very respectfully,

Your obedient servants,

WM. R. STUART,
E. HUGHES,
J. S. WILLIAMS.

To Gen. Sheppard C. Leakin.

Answer to the Commissioners' Letter.

Baltimore, January 20th, 1835.

Gentlemen:—I was not a little surprised on the perusal of your letter of yesterday, in answer to which I can only say that I never heard of, nor do I know, under the Lottery system, as existing for some years past, of violations of the law by lottery venders subdividing tickets below the amount authorised by them—neither have I ever stated “the existence of such practices.”

Your's respectfully,

S. C. LEAKIN.

To Messrs. Stuart, Huges & Williams, Lottery Commissioners.