

the Federal Government she has always contended were
 restricted, limited and confined within the letter of that instrument.
 In the opinion of our people the framers of the Constitution rested
 its support and power upon the consent of the people of the
 different Confederated States, and never contemplated the
 employment of force against a sovereign state to coerce
 its submission to or continuance in a Confederation deemed
 by its people oppressive and tyrannical. Our Fathers had
 but too recently felt the necessity which forced a loyal and
 true people to throw off a Government which proudly claimed
 to be the only power on the globe whose citizens were secu-
 red in the enjoyment of constitutional liberty. With the
 experience of the then recent past, the statesmen of 1778
 - q looked with far seeing sagacity to the possibility of a
 loss of their liberties so dearly won, unless the new govern-
 ment about to be adopted for their protection, should be
 so limited and confined in its powers, and so arranged
 in its details, as to secure its entire force, efficacy and
 power from the enlightened public sentiment of the country,
 the full, free and cordial assent of the governed. This
 has always been the view entertained at the South in regard
 to the powers of the Federal Government. Indeed, one of the
 New England states - one which now denies the sovereignty
 of the several states, and is urging the Government at Wash-
 ington to use the power of the army and navy to reduce to
 subjection the seceding Southern states; on no less than two
 occasions in its past history, has claimed for itself, the right
 to judge of the infraction of the Federal Constitution,
 and to assert its right and duty to dissolve all further connex-
 ion with the Federal Union. The doctrine of states rights
 and state sovereignty as enunciated and declared in the
 Virginia - Kentucky resolutions of 99 we have held to be
 the chief safeguards of the liberties of the American
 people. For the first time in our national history, this
 doctrine has been ignored and denied by a commanding
 majority of the states of the Union. Our safety requires
 that we should look now alone to our own efforts and
 resources for the protection of our liberties and property.
 So emphatically denied to us by our Northern associates,
 Maryland, in the opinion of Georgia, can not with safety
 to her citizens, continue longer in confederation with
 the states of the North. And while we would not attempt
 to advise a people of such known intelligence and
 patriotism, as to their duty in this trying emergency, the
 fraternal regard we have ever borne towards your state
 and the deep solicitude which as brethren is sprung
 from the same ancestry with institutions so identical
 and interests so reciprocal impel us to give you our
 solemn warning of the dangers which surround
 you and which threaten in our honest judgment
 to destroy your domestic institutions and impede
 the prosperity and wealth of your noble state. Bearing