

and sent into the port of (Baltimore) by the
French armed Schooner Industry and which the
British Vice Consul alleges to have been illegally
taken by reason of the said Schooner's having
made some equipments of a military nature
in that port. Not doubting Sir, that your deter-
-mination on the subject of this vessel would
in due time reach me through the ordinary chan-
-nel, I should not have taken this freedom,
had not another case occurred which requires
me to form an immediate Judgment, how-
far, partial equipments and alterations to
vessels originally armed in French Ports, are
admissible under the description of reparations,
conveniences &c as mentioned in the 19th Article
of our treaty with France, which is expressly
admitted in extenuation of the prohibitory
part of the 7th Article of your Excellency's in-
-structions. The papers which I do myself the
honour to enclose, will explain the case in ques-
-tion. - I have requested the Collector of the
district of Baltimore to observe the motions
of the Privateer mentioned by Mr Thornton,

and