

W. Bennett who is Agent for the Plaintiffs,  
has informed us he will consent to such rule of  
the Court by which the Deposition of Mr. Forrest  
may be taken.

We are Sir

J. Howard

Luther & Martin Esq  
& Atty General.

In Council January 26. 1791.

Gentlemen,

Your return of persons voted for as In-  
spectors of Tobacco, which was delivered us this morning,  
is too confused and incomplete to enable us to make  
the appointments as the Law directs. We therefore

return it in expectation that you will immediately  
amend the irregularity which prevents our acting on it.

Whatever number of persons may be voted for, your  
return is not in a condition to be transmitted to us

until you have selected five (where you design two  
Inspectors) or three in the other case, and recommended  
them in the form prescribed by the Act. We are not

authorized to notice more than five names for one  
warehouse, and supposing it was our part to form up

your votes and to consider the five having the greatest  
number as the persons recommended, how are we to

decide between several Candidates having an equal  
number, as you will find on examination to be

the case between Mitchell, Clagett and Brooks  
for the New George town warehouse. It is further

improper to recommend the same men for different  
warehouses.