

to this place with an Intention of landing them, and he there
 produced the said Permission and made application to you
 for a permit for the above purpose, which you have
 refused to grant. It is the opinion of this Board upon
 the above Case, that you ought to grant him a permit
 153 for landing the said Goods, notwithstanding any doubts you
 may entertain respecting the property of the Ship - as it
 is a matter that does not come in question before you
 as that of the Brig Elizabeth formerly did; in which
 affair we thought it proper that your Journals should be
 satisfied.

We are &c

W. Smallwood

John Davidson Esq
 Naval Officer

In Council September 24th 1788

Sir,
 Your Letter of this Day, in answer to our's
 of yesterday respecting the Complaint of Mr. Petty, is now
 before us, and we conceive our Opinion has been communicated
 154 to you in Language both explicit and plain and we
 are not a little surpris'd you should be at a loss to
 find out our Meaning. The Case of Mr. Petty has been
 deliberated on, considered, and is well understood by this Board,
 and we think you ought to have complied with his Request,
 and granted him a Permit to land the Goods mentioned in
 the Permit of the Naval Officer of Baltimore, whose

(Duty)