

No 79. he has never told us that he had or wanted, two men we know were discharged  
as they and their Securities represented, on the Terms of giving Security in \$1000 each  
each to return again in a short time, or find a man each, and this two or three  
Days after the Service of the Order. ... We have waited the Point of Satisfaction  
to us, in our Letter to the Committee; not that we thought Nicholson  
complied, but we are unwilling to be the Occasion of dismissing an Officer, from  
whom many have great Expectations. We are Sirs Yrs  
William Pica Esq<sup>r</sup> 3

Sirs

In Council Annapolis 30th May 1777.

We are truly concerned at the accident that has happened to you and  
much wish your perfect Recovery, Whether you serve again or not, we esteem  
you an active Officer and think you have merited well of the Public. We  
had wrote you in answer to your former Letter and sent it by the Post, we  
inclosed you your Commission back to you, advising you that General  
Washington had the Settlement of Rank. We do not know from your  
last, whether you desire a Captain should be appointed in your Company, in  
your Stead, and are unwilling to understand you so, therefore have left it in  
its present State. William Clarke, who was appointed second Lieutenant  
is lately gone up and Jas<sup>r</sup> Hanson, who was a Cadet in Gates's Company,  
being appointed Ensign, and on the Spot, we hope the Company will not  
suffer. Mr Orme tells us you have advanced Money for Herringe  
Carriage Yea. Inclose us the Account praved, and so far as it ought, it  
will be paid here. — We are Yrs

Capt. Fredk. Deans. 3

Gent.

In Council Annapolis 30th May 1777.

We received Mr Beall's Letter from Baltimore, and were much pleased  
to find, as we thought, our Goods, especially the Medicines, had arrived from  
Petersburg at Baltimore; we sent to Baltimore twice and found that same Day  
81. Goods had arrived, which were claimed by M<sup>r</sup> Young & McGeary, but no  
Medicines. We wrote you by the Post on the other Points of the Letter, and  
if the Story of this Post would allow it, we would send you a copy, though we  
hope before this, you have the Original. We shall endeavour to get Mr  
Young's Order, though we think, if the Gent. who has the Goods requires it  
before he will deliver them, he is more particular than he need be.  
Mr Van Bibber has no Right to dispose of our Pin, or any other Article  
of ours, to Col<sup>r</sup> Aylett. We want the Things ourselves and hope Col<sup>r</sup> Aylett  
will not think of touching them. These Goods purchased by Mr McGeary  
were bought with the Money of this State, and Mr Young's Name is put to  
merely for Safety, as Mr Geary thought, and we are exceedingly surprised  
that Mr Van Bibber should attempt to dispose of any of them  
M<sup>r</sup> Norton & Beall. 3 We are Gent<sup>r</sup> Yours