

109

Bounds of his Duty. What were His Ld's Objections to promoting him
at that time. I cannot tell, but You know I was required to recom-
mend some other Person, which I accordingly did; however as I was
still in hopes that Mr Guitary tho he was chagrined at another's being
preferred before him, might be secured in His Ld's Interest. I took the
Liberty to mention him in more than one Letter afterwards, of which
he had intimations given him, but from Your telling him in Your
Lett^r of March 1736 "that he was to address me, as the way to advance
to Favour from My Ld." I suppose he concluded that I had been
amusing him with Stories when I had assured him that I had upon
his Father's Decease, & afterwards wrote to His Ld. & Yourself in his
Favour & it is undoubtedly owing to his being thus confirmed in an Op-
inion that His Ld. had never promoted him but thro fear of him;
& that either You or I or both of us dealt treacherously with him on
that Occasion, that his Conduct hath not been at all times since quite
agreeable to my Wishes; & as neither Mr Tasher, The Colonel, Mr
Dulany himself nor his Brother Dennis the Clerk of that City
tho received their Offices & Preferment immediately from Me. or thro
my means but by a direct Application to His Ld. or Yourself
if they pay me only common Respect it is as much as I can
reasonably expect from them. The next that I took the Liberty
to recommend to a Seat in the Council was Col. William Goddard
rough a Gent^l who had heretofore practised the Law in some
of the County Courts but having made himself Master of the