

but for the additional excitement and excitation of public opinion which
would be produced in the public mind by such a step, being the primary of the
recent election of electors of General & Vice President of the United States, and
determined to call the General Assembly for that purpose, inasmuch as the
state election should be over without regard to a rotation or the influence
on the issue by what might be the result of that election.

Considering that the spirit of the constitution, and the plain
intent of its framers require that the term of electors should be terminated
by the election of successors every five years or as soon thereafter as circum-
stances may admit of our first object in convening the General Assembly
was that they might pass a law providing for the election of electors of the
Senate in the place of those who had refused or neglected to attend the
College and perform their duty. That the General Assembly had the
authority to pass a law for a new election in such a case, is a proposition
so clear of rational doubt that, but for the lawless and anarchical spirit
of the day which calls in question the most venerable and best settled
doctrines of Constitutional Law, and involves in their stead theories and
assumptions, about the us argument is wholly and completely
irrelevant, proper to a common law. Even if the regular constitutional process
with the subject remained entire and unchanged, the forms to be used for the
election in such a case would be a matter of course, but at least the constitutional
question as related to the long time place and manner of holding elections
holding laws established at the 11th November 1791, 1795, 1799 and 1800
to be regulated by Law it would seem improper to that point could be
raised on the subject. If, being aware that the constitution of the General
Assembly in this respect has been so truly questioned, but extremely denied,
and deemed it proper to refer to a few concluding instructions in support of it.
That common law is a source that later Chancellor of New York in the
11th Volume of his commentaries says that the power of election is the
disposition of members in the course of such as are removed by death or
otherwise is said to be a power inherent in and necessarily implied in, any
appropriate corporate power the principle of self-regulation that is
accorded in the case of a corporation by means of a Charter of
incorporation of electing corporate officers was not regulated by
a prescription the corporation might make regulations to regulate the
election provided they did not infringe the charter. Such regulations to be
substantive in respect of incorporation. Such power being inherent
to, and necessarily implied in, any appropriate incorporation, would be
contrary that the regulative authority which grants the incorporation,
possesses of being equal powers of self-regulation.

That there is no existing legal provision for such elections in this
state is in the event of an electoral college, it is presumed, is for the same
reason that the framers of the constitution, when first convened