

By the petition of William Murdock of Chancery County, and a transcript
from the records of the County Court of said County, it appears that he entered into
recognizance for the sum of fifty dollars, and actually, with Levi Scott, in the sum of fifty dollars
for the appearance, the said Scott at August Term 1829 to answer a promissory
against him for retailing, & selling, without license, and that the said recognizance
has since been forfeited. The Petitioner states that the said Scott was simply
sufficient to pay the fine imposed by Law, if he had been convicted, and was
then in good repute that after entering into the recognizance aforesaid, said
Scott was guilty of murder or manslaughter and fled the state: in consequence
of which the said recognizance became forfeited, as well as the recognizance
which said Scott had entered into to appear in Court and answer for the
offence aforesaid that the incentive as here to give remit the penalty incurred
by the forfeiture in the latter recognizance. Therefore, pray for remission
of the said forfeiture. And on the charges, the said Court having
recommended a compliance with the prayer of the petitioner we do
hereby remit the said forfeiture.

Geo Howard
Jm Potter

J. C. Worthington

J. Turner

Geo W. Purnell

Robt W. Bowie

By the petition of John Morrow, and a transcript from the
records of Baltimore County Court, it appears that he was fined by the
said Court at April Term 1830 the sum of fifty dollars for selling
liquor without license. The Petitioner states that he theretofore applied
to the Clerk of the said County Court for authority to keep an ordinary,
and that a license was granted him under which he proceeded to
retail, as well as to keep ordinary, supposing he had a right to do so, that
it turned out that the license was only to keep ordinary, and he was
prosecuted for selling by retail, that upon a petition he had no
license to retail, and he applied to the Court, paid for, and obtained the said
license. He complains that his failure to obtain the said retail
license resulted from his apprehension and ignorance
how to defend the revenue, or the said disposition,
which he and that had his five persons in family
exaction, the penalty would be grievous, and
pray for remission of the said fine. And
the Court having recommended a compliance with
we do hereby remit the said fine, as follows the

Geo Howard

Jm Potter

J. C. Worthington

J. Turner

Geo W. Purnell